## Southport SATURN Model Update Highway Assignment Model Report

December 2014

**NTKINS** 

Plan Design Enable

## Notice

This document and its contents have been prepared and are intended solely for Sefton Council's information and use in relation to Southport Strategic Highway Model

Atkins Limited assumes no responsibility to any other party in respect of or arising out of or in connection with this document and/or its contents.

This document has 129 pages including the cover.

#### **Document history**

Job numb	er: 5123050		Documen	t ref:		
Revision	Purpose description	Originated	Checked	Reviewed	Authorised	Date
Rev 0.0	Draft Report for Internal Review	BK/BV	RP	AC/PM	RK	December 2014

## **Table of contents**

#### Chapter

Page	es
------	----

<b>1.</b> 1.1.	Introduc Purpose	<b>tion</b> of the Model	<b>6</b> 6
1.2.	Scope an	d Contents of this Report	6
<b>2.</b> 2.1. 2.2.	Descript Backgrou Tempora	<b>ion of the Model</b> Ind I Coverage	<b>7</b> 7 7
2.3. 2.4. 2.5. 2.6. 2.7	Modelled Developm Model Zo	Area nent of Detailed Traffic Model oning System	7 7 8 10 13
2.8. 2.9. 2.10.	PCU Fac Assignme Generalis	tors ent Methodology sed Cost Coefficients	13 13 14 14
<b>3.</b> 3.1. 3.2.	Trip Mat Introducti Developn	rix Development ion nent of Trip Matrices	<b>16</b> 16 17
<b>4.</b> 4.1. 4.2. 4.3.	Model St Overview Interpreta Validation	andards ation of the Guidelines n Criteria and Acceptability Guidelines	<b>28</b> 28 28 28
<b>5.</b> 5.1. 5.2. 5.3. 5.4. 5.5. 5.6. 5.7.	Model Ca Introduction Network of Route Ch Matrix Es The SAT Impact of Matrix Ca	alibration on Calibration noice Calibration atimation ME2 Process Matrix Estimation alibration	<b>31</b> 31 32 32 33 34 37
<b>6.</b> 6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4.	Model Va Overview Ad-Hoc F Turning F Model Co	alidation Flow Validation Flow Validation onvergence	<b>38</b> 38 40 42
<b>7.</b> 7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4.	Summar Overview Model De Model Va Conclusio	y and Conclusions evelopment and Calibration alidation ons	<b>48</b> 48 48 48 49
Appen	dices		50
Appen	dix A.	Journey time	51
Appen	dix B.	Route Choice	75
Appen	dix C.	Detailed Link Flow Data	79
Appen	dix D.	Trip length Distribution	122

#### Tables Table 2–1 Saturation Flow for Signalised Junctions (pcu/hr) 9 Table 2–2 9 Saturation Flow for Priority Junctions (pcu/hr) Table 2–3 Saturation Flow for Roundabouts (pcu/hr) 10 Table 2–4 10 COBA Speed Flow Curves. Table 2–5 Southport model Zoning System 11 Table 2-6 Vehicle to PCU Conversion Factors 14 Table 2–7 **Generalised Cost Coefficients** 15 Table 3–1 I-I car matrix for AM peak 20 Table 3–2 I-E, E-I and E-E car matrix for AM peak 20 Table 3–3 I-I car matrix for IP peak 20 Table 3–4 21 I-E, E-I and E-E car matrix for IP peak 21 Table 3–5 I-I car matrix for PM peak 21 Table 3–6 I-E, E-I and E-E car matrix for PM peak 22 Table 3–7 I-I LGV matrix for AM peak 22 Table 3–8 I-E, E-I and E-E LGV matrix for AM peak 22 Table 3–9 I-I LGV matrix for IP peak Table 3–10 I-E, E-I and E-E LGV matrix for IP peak 23 Table 3–11 I-I LGV matrix for PM peak 23 Table 3–12 I-I -E, E-I and E-E LGV matrix for PM peak 23 Table 3–13 HGV matrix for AM peak 24 HGV matrix for AM peak 24 Table 3–14 24 Table 3–15 HGV matrix for PM peak 25 Table 3–16 Car Prior Matrix for AM peak 25 Table 3–17 LGV Prior Matrix for AM peak 25 Table 3–18 HGV Prior Matrix for AM peak Table 3–19 Car Prior Matrix for IP peak 26 Table 3–20 LGV Prior Matrix for IP peak 26 Table 3–21 HGV Prior Matrix for IP peak 26 Table 3–22 Car Prior Matrix for PM peak 27 Table 3–23 LGV Prior Matrix for PM peak 27 Table 3–24 HGV Prior Matrix for PM peak 27 Table 4–1 Screenline Flow Validation Criterion and Acceptability Guidelines 28 Table 4–2 Link Flow and Turning Movements Validation Criteria and Acceptability Guidelines 30 Table 4–3 Journey Time Validation Criterion and Acceptability Guideline 30 Table 4–4 31 Summary of Convergence Criteria Table 5–1 Summary of Screenline and Cordon Validation (Prior Matrix) – AM Peak hour 33 Table 5-2 Summary of Screenline and Cordon Validation (Prior Matrix) – Inter Peak hour 33 Table 5–3 Summary of Screenline and Cordon Validation (Prior Matrix) – PM Peak hour 33 34 Table 5–4 Significance of Matrix Estimation Changes 35 Table 5–5 Comparison of Matrix Totals – Prior and Post ME2 Table 5–6 Matrix Estimation Changes by Time Period 35 % Change (post vs prior) in Mean and Standard Deviation for Trip Length Distribution 36 Table 5–7 36 Table 5–8 Impact of Matrix Estimation at Sector to Sector Level – AM Peak 36 Table 5–9 Impact of Matrix Estimation at Sector to Sector Level – IP Table 5–10 Impact of Matrix Estimation at Sector to Sector Level – PM 36 Table 5–11 Summary of Screenline and Cordon Calibration (Post Matrix Estimation) 37 Table 6–1 Summary of individual links (Post Matrix Estimation) – AM Peak hour 38 Table 6-2 Summary of individual links (Post Matrix Estimation) – IP hour 39 Summary of individual links (Post Matrix Estimation) – PM Peak hour Table 6–3 39 Table 6–4 Summary of Junction Turning Calibration (Post Matrix Estimation) – All time periods 41 Table 6-5 Summary of Individual Turns (Post Matrix Estimation) – AM Peak. 41 Table 6-6 Summary of Individual Turns (Post Matrix Estimation) – IP hour 42 42 Table 6–7 Summary of Individual Turns (Post Matrix Estimation) – PM Peak hour 43 Table 6–8 Summary of Model Convergence 45 Table 6–9 AM Peak Journey Time Validation Table 6–10 Inter Peak Journey Time Validation 46 Table 6–11 PM Peak Journey Time Validation 47

## **Figures**

Figure 2–1	Geographic Coverage of the Southport Traffic Model	8
Figure 2–2	Southport Internal Zones	11
Figure 2–3	Southport External zones	12
Figure 2–4	Southport Zone Sector System	13
Figure 3–1	Highway Matrix Building Process	18
Figure 4–1	Screenlines Locations	29
Figure 6–1	MCC Turn Count Locations	40
Figure 6-2	Journey Time Routes	44

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Purpose of the Model

Sefton Council has commissioned Atkins to develop a SATURN traffic model of the Southport area of Sefton to support future transport assessments and carry out scenario testing of the transport impacts of new developments and associated mitigation measures. The strategic model will also help develop business cases for future major schemes and route strategies.

This local model validation report documents the development, calibration and validation of the strategic highway model. It provides an overview of the development of the base year traffic models; the extent to which the base year traffic models reflect existing conditions on the highway network and their suitability as a tool for future year forecasting.

## 1.2. Scope and Contents of this Report

Following this introduction, the remainder of the report is structured as follows:

- Chapter Two Description of the Model;
- Chapter Three Trip Matrix Development;
- Chapter Four Model Standards;
- Chapter Five Model Calibration;
- Chapter Six Model Validation; and,
- Chapter Seven Summary and Conclusions.

# 2. Description of the Model

## 2.1. Background

A SATURN traffic model of the Southport area is already in existence which was validated to a base year of 2001 with a single forecast year of 2010. The model has two modelled time periods (a weekday AM peak hour 0800-0900 and a Saturday peak hour 1300-1400) with a single user class.

Given the age of the existing Southport model, it was decided to update and re-validate the traffic model to a new/current base year, as well as model the weekday inter-peak and weekday evening peak periods.

Journey purpose data was collected to convert the model from a single user class model to a five User Class, model.

## 2.2. Temporal Coverage

In order to robustly assess traffic conditions at different times of day, the following time periods were modelled:

- Morning Peak Hour: The AM peak hour model represents the busiest hour in the morning peak period (between 0700 & 1000). Traffic count data indicates the peak hour occurs in the period 0800-0900;
- Inter-Peak Average Hour: The inter-peak hour model is an average hour model representing weekday traffic conditions between 1000 & 1600. The use of an average hour model is justified on the basis that the flow profile is relatively stable during this period; and
- **PM Peak Hour:** The PM peak hour model represents the busiest hour during the weekday PM peak period (between 1600 and 1900). The traffic count data shows that the peak hour occurs during the period 1700-1800.

For the morning peak and evening peak hour, a previous shoulder peak period is also modelled (although this is not separately validated), queues which build up during this period are carried over to the start of the peak hour using the SATURN PASSQ option.

## 2.3. Base Year

This Southport highway traffic model has been validated to a base year of October 2013. The model is developed using the SATURN suite of software, version 10.9.24,

## 2.4. Modelled Area

TAG Unit M3.1 states that the geographic coverage of highway assignment models generally need to:

- Allow for the strategic re-routeing impacts of interventions;
- Ensure that areas outside the main area of interest, which are potential alternative destinations are properly represented; and
- Ensure that the full lengths of trips are represented for the purpose of deriving costs. The modelled area therefore needs to be large enough to include these elements.

.The extent of the simulation and buffer elements of the highway network is displayed in Figure 2-1.

#### Figure 2–1 Geographic Coverage of the Southport Traffic Model



### 2.5. Development of Detailed Traffic Model

The highway traffic model has been developed at two levels of detail:

- Simulation coding of junctions/links in the urban area; and
- Buffer coding of links in outer rural area.

The Southport traffic model simulation area covers the majority of the Southport town area, the buffer area covers the outer rural areas of the town

Simulation coding enables detailed modelling of junctions and allows representation of queuing and delays for each movement through the junction. This level of detail requires specific data on the junctions operation including geometry and lane usage plus where traffic signals are used the phasing and staging data relating to the signal operation. As a result simulation coding is data intensive but provides a robust basis for detailed network operations and is suitable for urban networks.

Buffer coding is simpler and relies on speed flow curves to represent link and junction delay. Buffer coding is ideally used to represent rural elements of a network where junctions are infrequent and delays arising from junctions are likely to be small.

#### 2.5.1. Capacity Restraint

Capacity restraint is modelled in the simulation area predominantly through junction modelling. All modelled junctions in the simulation area have been assessed and modelled as a respective junction type of either a:

- Priority Junction:
- Roundabout: or;
- Signalised Junction.

Within the buffer area, capacity restraint is controlled primarily based on the speed-flow relationship of COBA speed flow curves.

#### 2.5.2. Link Coding

The existing traffic model of Southport, validated to a 2001 baseline was used as a basis for highway network development.

A thorough audit of the general network structure and junction details across the entire modelled area has been undertaken using internet based satellite imagery, any changes to the data has been incorporated to update the Southport highway networks to reflect a base year of 2013

The link coding includes link length and road standard. The link lengths of roads are based on the measurements taken from GIS (MapInfo) by reshaping the highway network and checked against Google Earth distances. Distances coded are also checked against the crow-fly distance to ensure that the distance is greater than or equal to the crow-fly distance.

Link speeds within the simulation area are coded based on actual road speed limits obtained from internet based satellite imagery. Link speeds within the buffer network are also coded based on speed limits obtained from internet based satellite imagery, but are controlled with standard Cost Benefit Analysis (COBA) speed flow curves.

#### 2.5.3. Link Saturation flow

Junction saturation flows were calculated according to standard formulae which have been adopted and enhanced based on experience of highway traffic model development by Atkins.

Saturation flows adopted for the Southport highway model for signalised junction, priority junction and roundabout junctions are presented in Table 2–1 to Table 2–3 respectively below.

Table 2–1	Saturation Flow for Signalised Junctions (	pcu/hr)

Entry Arm Type	Left Turn	Straight	Right Turn
Single Lane Narrow <3m	1650	1900	1700
Single Lane Normal ~3.5m	1750	1950	1800
2 Lanes Narrow <6m	3500	3950	3600
2 Lanes Normal ~7m	3600	4100	3700
3 lanes ~10m	N/A	6200	N/A

Table 2–2	Saturation	Flow for	<b>Priority</b>	Junctions	(pcu/hr)
-----------	------------	----------	-----------------	-----------	----------

Turn Link Type	Approach Lane Type	Left	Ahead	Right
Major Arm -No Marker	Full lane (No Flare)	1,500	1800	1,500
Major Arm X Major arm	Full lane (No Flare)	n/a	1200	900
Minor Arm -Gives way	Full lane (No Flare)	1,200	950	875
Major Arm -No Marker	Main plus Flare	1,650	1900	1,650
Major Arm X Major arm	Main plus Flare	n/a	1,250	1,250
Minor Arm -Gives way	Main plus Flare	700	675	675
Minor Arm -Merge	Full lane (No Flare)	1,200	n/a	n/a

Table 2–3 Saturation Flow for Roundabouts (pcu/hr)

Entry Arm Type	Mini	Small	Medium	Large
Inscribed Diameter	~20m	~40m	~60m	~80m
Single Lane Narrow <3m, No Flare	900	950	1000	N/A
Single Lane Narrow <3m, Flare To 2 Lanes	1225	1325	1400	N/A
Single Lane Normal 3.5m, No Flare	1050	1075	1150	1200
Single Lane Normal 3.5m, Flare To 2 Lanes	1475	1550	1625	1700
Dual No Flare	N/A	2325	2400	2475
Dual Flare To 3 Lanes	N/A	2725	2850	2950

#### 2.5.4. Link Speeds

Free flow speeds are coded based on the actual speed limit of the roads being modelled, Internet based street viewers have been used to obtain the speed limits of roads in the modelled network.

Standard COBA speed flow curves were applied to links in the buffer network. The COBA speed flow curves are presented in Table 2–4.

Table 2–4COBA Speed Flow Curves.

SFC No.	Road Type	Free Flow Speed (kph)	Speed at Capacity (kph)	Capacity (pcu/hr)	Power of the Curve
5	RURAL -D2AP	100	73	4199	2.80
6	RURAL -S2 10m TD9/81	93	55	1686	2.15
7	RURAL -S2 7.3m TD9/81	87	58	1328	1.99
9	SUB-URBAN-Dual (slight development)	75	35	3540	2.56
10	SUB-URBAN-Dual (typical development)	71	35	3540	1.42
12	SUB-URBAN-Single (light development)	65	25	1680	2.63
13	SUB-URBAN-Single (typical development)	61	25	1680	1.58

#### 2.5.5. Signal Timings

Detailed signal data including cycle times, green times phasing/staging arrangements, as well as intergreens times are coded into the model based on data provided by Sefton MBC.

## 2.6. Model Zoning System

The zoning system used to represent the spatial properties of urban areas is a fundamental basic of all aggregate traffic models and has a critical impact on the quality and credibility of model outputs.

The zoning system for the Southport model is based on the TEMPRO and ONS Output Areas, which are generally aggregated based on population size and mutual proximity.

Table 2–5 shows the number of zones contained in the Southport model, the model consists of 91 zones in total. 81 zones represent the town of Southport whilst the remaining 10 zones represent the external rural areas of the town and the rest of Great Britain.

Figure 2–2 and 2-3 show the zoning system of the Southport traffic model graphically.

 Table 2–5
 Southport model Zoning System

Area	No. of Zones
Southport Town (1-81)	81
Rural and Rest of GB (82-91)	10
Total	91

#### Figure 2–2 Southport Internal Zones





Figure 2–3 Southport External zones

#### 2.6.1. Sectoring System

During the development of Southport highway assignment model, a seven sector system was developed to assist with matrix manipulation, as well as analysis and comparisons at an aggregated level. The sectors are made up of the zones which comprise the Southport model. Figure 2–4 shows the Southport sector system.



Figure 2–4 Southport Zone Sector System

### 2.7. User Classes

The highway assignment model represents highway demand with five user classes as detailed below:

- User Class 1 Car Commuting (HBW)
- User Class 2 Car Employers Business (HBEB)
- User Class 3 Car Other (HBO)
- User Class 4 Light Goods Vehicles (LGV); and
- User Class 5 Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGV).

## 2.8. PCU Factors

Passenger car units (pcus) are used as standard unit for demand and capacities rather than vehicles. This allows the effect of longer/slower vehicles that occupy more road space and take longer to clear junctions to be represented accurately. The conversion factors used for the various vehicle types are summarised below in Table 2–6.

|--|

Vehicle Type	Equivalent PCUs	Comment
Car	1.0	Private cars
LGV	1.0	Goods Vehicles using car-based chassis
HGV	2.3 <sup>(1)</sup>	For both OGV1 & OGV2 vehicle types

## 2.9. Assignment Methodology

The assignment of trips to the highway network was undertaken using a standard 'Wardrop User Equilibrium' approach, which seeks to minimise travel costs for all vehicles in the network. The Wardrop User Equilibrium is based on the following proposition:

"Traffic arranges itself on congested networks such that the cost of travel on all routes used between each O-D pair is equal to the minimum cost of travel and all unused routes have equal or greater cost"

The Wardrop User Equilibrium as implemented in SATURN is based on the 'Frank-Wolfe Algorithm', which employs an iterative process. This process is based on successive 'All or Nothing' iterations, which are combined to minimise an 'Objective Function'. The travel costs are recalculated on each iteration, and then compared to the previous iteration. The process is terminated once successive iteration costs have not changed significantly. This process results in possible multi-routeing between any origin-destination pair.

### 2.10. Generalised Cost Coefficients

The cost of travel is expressed in terms of generalised cost minutes, which can be related back to values of time and out-of-pocket costs in accordance with the TAG Unit A1.3.

The coefficients for the individual components of generalised costs were calculated using TAG Unit A1.3.

The model base year is 2013 with all monetary values calculated and based at 2010 prices.

#### 2.10.1. Values of Time

Perceived values of times are used to calculate costs in the Southport model. Cars, LGV's and HGVs travelling in work time have the same perceived and resource values times. The calculation of values of time is summarised below:

- 1. Equivalent 2013 values are calculated by applying the specified growth in working and non-working values of time (Annual Parameters in TAG Unit A1.3);
- 2. The relative proportions of Car Non-Work, Other and Commuting are calculated from the RSI surveys;
- 3. The equivalent values for vehicles are calculated by applying the occupancies obtained from the RSI surveys;
- 4. HGV travel is assumed to be in work time with the split between OGV1 and OGV2 recorded from the RSI surveys; and
- 5. Values are converted from pounds per hour to pence per minute.

#### 2.10.2. Vehicle Operating Costs

Vehicle Operating Costs are calculated using TAG A1.3 (January 2014) and defined separately for fuel and non-fuel elements before being combined for use in the SATURN assignment. Non-fuel costs are only applied to business travellers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup> TAG Unit 3.19c provides two pcu values for HGVs: either 2.3 pcu for motorways and all-purpose dual carriageways or 2.0 pcus for all other road types.

#### 2.10.2.1. Fuel Costs

The consumption of fuel (in litres per km), adjusted by the fuel efficiency factors, is multiplied by the cost per litre to provide the cost per km in the model base year (2013). For trips made on employers business i.e. work trips, fuel duty is included in the calculations as a perceived cost as businesses are not able to reclaim the duty. However, VAT is excluded as this is reclaimable by businesses. For non-work purposes, the perceived cost of the fuel Vehicle Operating Cost is the market price. LGV fuel costs were derived using the work/non-work proportions obtained from the RSI surveys and used in the calculation of average Value of Time.

#### 2.10.2.2. Non-Fuel Costs

The non-fuel cost element is derived using the formulae set out in TAG A1.3 Table A1.3.14 and is a function of average network speed. No further adjustments are required as the non-fuel costs are assumed to remain constant in real terms, over time. As noted above, the non-fuel cost element is only included for business travellers.

The resulting cost coefficients of pence per minute (PPM) and pence per kilometre (PPK) are presented in Table 2–7 below.

Category	VoT (pence/min) (PPM)	VOC, Fuel+Non-fuel (PPK)
Morning Peak(7:00-10:00)		
Car Work	44.66	12.62
Car Commuting	12.90	6.93
Car Others	14.04	6.93
LGV	17.82	15.66
HGV	20.05	31.72
Inter Peak(10:00-16:00)		
Car Work	48.66	12.77
Car Commuting	13.12	6.93
Car Others	15.74	6.93
LGV	18.93	15.66
HGV	20.05	31.72
Evening Peak(16:00-19:00)		
Car Work	49.20	12.73
Car Commuting	13.83	6.93
Car Others	17.42	6.93
LGV	17.82	15.66
HGV	20.05	31.72

#### Table 2–7 Generalised Cost Coefficients

# 3. Trip Matrix Development

## 3.1. Introduction

Vehicle trip matrices for each modelled hour were developed using information from a range of sources listed below:

- Road Side Interview (RSI) surveys;
- 2011 National Census 'Journey to Work' data;
- Car Park Survey Data;
- Traffic Master Data:
- Automated Traffic Count Data (ATC) and,
- Manual Classified Count Data (MCC).

The following sections of the report describe the sources of data and the methodology adopted for the development of the highway demand matrix:

#### **Travel Demand Data**

- Collecting, processing and expansion of Road Side Interview (RSI) survey data, Traffic Master data and Car Park survey data.
- Processing of 2011 Census Journey to Work data;
- Collecting and processing of Automatic Traffic Counts (ATC), Manual Classified Counts (MCC);

#### Partial Trip Matrices

- Creation of partial Car, LGV and HGV trip matrices from observed Travel Demand Data;
- Expanding partial trip matrices against screen-line and cordon counts at a sector level; Matrix Estimation
  - Matrix Estimation to ensure greater consistency of the trip matrices with the count data;
  - Adjustment of prior trip matrices if the magnitudes of the changes brought about by matrix estimation are regarded as significant; and
  - Adjustments of prior trip matrices as a result of traffic rerouting with regards to journey time validations.

#### 3.1.1. Traffic Master Data:

Traffic Master data was provided for the internal movements around Southport town centre covering a period of 60 days from the beginning of September 2012 to the end of November 2012.

The Traffic Master data was rezoned to correspond with the Southport model zoning system.

The data was split into journey purpose, Home Based Work (HBW), Home Based Employers Business (HBEB) and Home Based Other (HBO) based on observed RSI data proportions. Peak period to peak hour factors were then calculated from ATC count data to obtain peak hour matrices.

#### 3.1.2. Census 2011 Journey to Work Data:

2011 Census Journey to Work (commuting data HBW) is available for home to place of work trip direction only. As this data does not include the return trips, these are calculated by applying 'DIADEM initial tour proportions' to the transposed journey to work matrices. The 2011 Census zones are rezoned to Southport zones and return trips added in to calculate the Home Based Work (HBW) car matrices for all the three time periods. Peak period to peak hour factors are applied to derive the peak hour HBW car matrices.

#### 3.1.3. Car Park Surveys:

Car Park Interview surveys were carried out for a 12 hour period from 7am to 7pm at 6 car park locations around Southport town centre. At each site, trip origin, trip destination, trip purpose, vehicle type and time of travel were recorded during the interview. Origin and Destination postcodes collected during the survey were geo-coded into MapInfo and were converted to the Southport zoning system.

It was observed that the car park survey data was primarily 'home based other trips' (HBO) and hence only HBO journey purpose was considered from the car park survey data. Expansion factors were calculated using the car park count data for each time period and for HBO purpose. The subsequent HBO matrix generated was merged with the HBO matrix generated from Traffic Master data to form the final HBO I-I trip matrices.

#### 3.1.4. RSI Processing:

Road Side Interview (RSI) surveys were carried out for a 12 hour period from 7am to 7pm at 7 locations on the main approaches into Southport. At each RSI site the trip origin, trip destination, trip purpose, vehicle type and time of travel were recorded during the survey. Manual classified counts (MCC) and/or automatic traffic counts (ATC's) were also undertaken at the same locations to enable the calculation of expansion factors to be applied to the RSI data, as not all vehicles passing through the RSI site can be stopped for interview.

Logical checks of the RSI data were undertaken to ensure no counterintuitive trips were included in the data set. For example, where the origin and destination had been incorrectly stated by the respondent, or inaccurately recorded by the interviewer, these records were removed from the data set.

Expansion factors were applied to the RSI survey data for each site to obtain Origin-Destination matrices for all purposes.

As all the RSI site locations are located on the outer cordon around the periphery of Southport, it was deemed appropriate to use the RSI data for Internal to External, External to Internal and External to External movements only.

#### 3.1.5. **TEMPRO Trip-ends**:

Population and Employment data was estimated for the Southport zones using the ONS 2011 Census data. An average trip rate for Southport was calculated from TEMPRO 6.2 trip-ends and planning data. This trip rate was then applied to each of the Southport zones (using census planning variables) to calculate the base year trip-ends.

## 3.2. Development of Trip Matrices

The highway matrix development process is summarised in the flow chart shown in Figure 3–1 below. The key steps (as marked in the flow chart) are described in the following bullet points:

- a) Rezone Traffic Master raw data and create Internal Internal (I-I) Car and LGV matrices by time period;
- b) Calculate I-I trip-ends based on TEMPRO planning variables and 2011 census demographic data (for Car mode for all three time periods).
- c) Data from steps a & b is furnessed to arrive at Southport I-I car matrix. This matrix is split into 'Commute', 'Work' and 'Other' purposes based on observed RSI proportions.
- d) Process 2011 census 'journey to work' data to create, Home Based Work (HBW) I-I Car matrix. Add in return trips by transposing the journey to work data using DIADEM return proportions.
- e) Process car park survey data to derive partial Home Based Other (HBO) matrix for Southport I-I movements; Merge this data with HBO matrix from Traffic Master data.
- f) Combine HBW from 2011 census journey to work data, with Home Based Employers Business (HBEB) from Traffic master data and merged HBO matrix from step-e to form Southport I-I car matrix.
- g) Process RSI data to get Internal External (I-E), External Internal (E-I) and External External (E-E) Car, LGV and HGV matrices.
- h) Combine Car I-I (from step-f) and I-E, E-I and E-E (from step g) to produce Southport car matrix for all three time periods.
- i) Combine LGV I-I (from step-a) and I-E, E-I and E-E (from step- g) to produce Southport LGV matrix for all three time periods.
- j) Combine Car and LGV matrices (from steps h and i) and HGV matrix (from step g) to form Southport all mode trip matrices for all three time periods.







#### 3.2.1. Car matrix:

Tables 3-1 to 3-6 show the matrix totals obtained from the various data sources described above for all time periods for the Partial Car matrices (I-I, I-E, E-I and E-E). The matrices are presented as the seven sector system which is shown graphically in Table 2-4 earlier in this report.

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	427	429	181	196				1232
2	354	764	390	171				1679
3	336	752	877	194				2159
4	644	691	374	775				2483
5								
6								
7								
Total	1761	2637	1821	1335				7554

Table 3–1I-I car matrix for AM peak

Table 3–2	I-E, E-I and E-E car	matrix for AM peak
-----------	----------------------	--------------------

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1					114	112	179	405
2					172	128	387	687
3					172	106	152	429
4					211	155	241	607
5	71	232	203	125	5	24	65	725
6	54	272	69	71	8	0	3	478
7	74	361	119	192	73	9	6	835
Total	199	865	392	389	755	534	1034	4167

#### Table 3–3 I-I car matrix for IP peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	244	290	122	132				787
2	261	598	287	179				1326
3	186	469	564	107				1326
4	356	392	227	515				1490
5								
6								
7								
Total	1047	1749	1199	934				4929

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1					67	51	108	225
2					180	214	472	867
3					115	36	81	231
4					102	86	146	334
5	66	177	111	98	14	11	51	529
6	58	237	38	96	11	1	5	446
7	104	465	82	148	50	5	5	858
Total	227	879	230	343	540	404	868	3491

#### Table 3–4 I-E, E-I and E-E car matrix for IP peak

#### Table 3–5 I-I car matrix for PM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	266	346	216	219				1047
2	300	692	448	335				1775
3	245	467	574	189				1475
4	343	408	251	559				1562
5								
6								
7								
Total	1155	1913	1488	1303				5859

Table 3–6 I-E, E-I and E-E car matrix for PM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1					89	58	128	275
2					295	276	485	1056
3					295	60	111	466
4					182	113	222	517
5	111	185	183	239	7	13	112	850
6	171	120	93	215	35	2	10	646
7	195	349	145	313	87	7	10	1105
Total	477	654	421	767	991	527	1078	4916

### 3.2.2. LGV matrix:

Matrix totals for LGV partial matrices (I-I, I-E, E-I and E-E) obtained from various sources described above for all time periods are presented in the seven sector system in Table 3–7 to Table 3–12.

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	91	149	39	70				349
2	150	516	155	67				888
3	62	159	332	43				597
4	59	89	60	216				423
5								
6								
7								
Total	362	913	586	396				2256

Table 3–7I-I LGV matrix for AM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1					18	0	42	61
2					48	31	102	182
3					53	24	21	99
4					24	26	27	76
5	15	30	46	10	0	4	12	117
6	15	2	13	10	0	0	0	39
7	10	45	9	21	13	1	0	100
Total	41	76	68	42	156	86	205	674

Table 3–9	I-I LGV	matrix	for IP	peak
-----------	---------	--------	--------	------

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	64	94	32	57				246
2	102	287	101	70				560
3	30	103	181	34				348
4	52	59	33	158				302
5								
6								
7								
Total	249	542	347	319				1457

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1					13	10	21	44
2					39	7	65	112
3					31	9	20	60
4					18	19	18	55
5	11	39	27	17	0	0	9	104
6	10	8	8	22	0	0	0	49
7	21	64	17	18	9	0	1	129
Total	42	111	52	57	110	45	135	553

Table 3–10 I-E, E-I and E-E LGV matrix for IP peak

#### Table 3–11 I-I LGV matrix for PM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	30	40	24	36				131
2	31	102	55	38				227
3	16	60	71	28				176
4	27	47	24	80				179
5								
6								
7								
Total	105	250	175	183				712

Table 3–12 I-I -E, E-I and E-E LGV matrix for PM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1					15	9	9	32
2					25	3	40	68
3					37	9	10	56
4					9	6	15	30
5	16	31	40	19	0	0	13	119
6	0	22	16	18	3	0	0	59
7	30	65	7	16	10	0	1	129
Total	47	119	64	53	99	27	88	495

#### 3.2.3. HGV matrix:

The HGV matrix totals derived from the RSI data is shown in the seven-sector system for all time periods in Table 3–13 to Table 3–15

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	0	0	7	0	0	7	46	61
2	0	0	1	0	24	7	48	80
3	5	0	11	0	2	8	6	34
4	0	0	0	0	22	21	34	77
5	0	26	0	26	0	13	6	71
6	7	5	5	21	11	0	0	50
7	36	47	0	27	3	0	0	113
Total	48	79	25	75	61	57	140	485

Table 3–13 HGV matrix for AM peak

Table 3–14 HGV matrix for AM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	46
2	0	0	1	0	20	8	17	46
3	0	1	6	0	2	9	4	22
4	0	0	0	0	18	29	47	95
5	0	30	2	28	0	14	11	84
6	0	8	9	29	9	0	0	55
7	34	15	4	35	9	0	0	97
Total	34	53	21	92	58	60	126	445

Table 3–1	5 HGV	matrix	for	PM	peak
-----------	-------	--------	-----	----	------

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	0	0	0	0	0	7	41	48
2	0	0	1	0	15	0	36	52
3	3	1	9	0	2	1	7	23
4	0	0	0	0	13	21	28	62
5	0	23	2	21	0	10	0	56
6	7	3	4	21	6	0	0	41
7	30	23	5	19	3	0	0	79
Total	39	49	20	60	39	40	112	359

#### 3.2.4. Prior matrix

The partial matrices are factored at sector level to match with screen line totals. Prior matrices are then derived from merging these factored matrices. The factored merged Prior matrices are presented at the seven sector level in Table 3–16 to Table 3–24.

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	422	421	148	525	112	109	171	1907
2	514	771	226	883	389	111	1164	4058
3	32	2	891	177	172	96	172	1542
4	437	493	186	736	256	130	217	2455
5	91	291	248	353	5	25	72	1085
6	51	623	72	76	8	0	3	834
7	73	920	127	226	74	10	6	1437
Total	1620	3521	1898	2976	1016	481	1806	13318

Table 3–16Car Prior Matrix for AM peak

 Table 3–17
 LGV Prior Matrix for AM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	89	65	38	46	16	0	42	296
2	46	524	41	28	48	16	61	764
3	13	2	345	41	53	22	27	503
4	54	37	35	213	124	31	21	515
5	16	37	61	37	0	4	16	171
6	15	2	23	13	0	0	0	53
7	11	174	9	23	13	1	0	231
Total	244	841	553	401	254	74	168	2534

Table 3–18 HGV Prior Matrix for AM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	0	0	7	2	1	7	46	63
2	0	0	2	1	27	7	45	83
3	8	1	10	0	2	9	5	35
4	1	1	0	0	22	21	32	77
5	1	37	0	35	0	13	6	91
6	7	29	30	26	11	0	0	103
7	40	47	0	28	3	0	0	117
Total	57	115	50	91	65	58	134	569

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	281	8	149	399	192	21	58	1109
2	129	570	510	194	230	496	356	2485
3	150	823	714	90	118	48	66	2010
4	338	124	278	774	128	343	79	2063
5	180	199	135	63	6	17	46	645
6	108	497	60	303	18	12	5	1002
7	56	509	65	71	45	5	3	754
Total	1241	2730	1911	1895	738	941	612	10068

 Table 3–19
 Car Prior Matrix for IP peak

 Table 3–20
 LGV Prior Matrix for IP peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	68	4	15	102	46	2	5	242
2	3	281	71	3	24	17	50	449
3	14	68	239	14	38	26	10	408
4	67	22	16	246	25	29	7	413
5	26	27	35	20	0	0	4	113
6	2	11	34	27	0	0	0	75
7	10	79	6	5	8	0	1	108
Total	190	492	416	418	141	74	77	1807

Table 3–21HGV Prior Matrix for IP peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	0	0	0	1	1	0	23	25
2	0	0	4	0	13	26	16	59
3	0	2	7	0	1	11	3	24
4	2	1	0	0	22	60	32	116
5	1	32	1	13	0	11	7	66
6	0	6	16	22	9	0	0	54
7	11	14	2	14	8	0	0	49
Total	14	55	31	51	52	108	82	393

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	251	592	196	563	181	96	199	2079
2	834	430	77	843	714	477	942	4318
3	275	534	584	217	472	38	112	2233
4	349	585	260	678	392	105	221	2589
5	205	198	211	278	7	13	112	1025
6	226	164	84	173	35	2	10	693
7	323	567	162	362	87	7	10	1518
Total	2464	3071	1574	3115	1888	738	1605	14455

 Table 3–22
 Car Prior Matrix for PM peak

Table 3–23 LGV Prior Matrix for PM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	30	40	18	40	73	15	15	231
2	32	103	5	35	50	6	104	335
3	19	52	72	30	75	8	9	264
4	24	35	12	90	30	5	15	212
5	22	34	32	23	0	0	13	125
6	0	23	13	20	3	0	0	59
7	27	72	8	12	11	0	1	130
Total	155	360	160	248	241	34	157	1356

 Table 3–24
 HGV Prior Matrix for PM peak

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
1	0	0	0	0	2	5	41	48
2	0	0	3	0	22	0	34	59
3	3	3	9	0	2	2	7	25
4	1	1	0	0	13	16	23	54
5	1	22	2	8	0	10	0	44
6	5	2	4	16	6	0	0	34
7	33	23	5	16	3	0	0	80
Total	43	51	22	41	48	34	105	345

## 4. Model Standards

### 4.1. Overview

Calibration, validation and convergence standards for highway assignment models are specified in TAG Unit M3.1. The advice in TAG Unit M3.1 applies to models created for both general and specific purposes.

The calibration of the Southport SATURN highway model network has been undertaken using a standard approach to ensure that the model provides realistic routing, flows and speeds across the network.

The process incorporated matrix estimation to aid in the development of trip matrices, which contain travel patterns that reflect the observed traffic counts. The results of the matrix estimation process have been closely monitored to ensure that the match between observed and modelled traffic flows has been achieved to improve model routeing and travel patterns, whilst not significantly affecting the structure of the matrices.

## 4.2. Interpretation of the Guidelines

TAG Unit M3.1 states that the achievement of the validation acceptability guidelines specified in Table 4-2, Table 4-3 and Table 4-4 does not guarantee that a model is 'fit for purpose' and likewise a failure to meet the specified validation standards does not mean that a model is not 'fit for purpose'.

Furthermore, in some models, particularly models of large congested areas, it may be difficult to achieve the link flow and journey time validation acceptability guidelines set out in Table 4-2 and Table 4-3 (without matrix estimation bringing about changes greater than the limits shown in Table 5 (of TAG Unit M3.1). In these cases, the limits set out in Table 5 should be respected, the impacts of matrix estimation should be reduced so that they do not become significant, and a lower standard of validation reported.

## 4.3. Validation Criteria and Acceptability Guidelines

#### 4.3.1. Screen Line Validation

Comparisons at screen-line level provide information on the quality of the trip matrices. TAG Unit M3.1 describes the validation criterion and acceptability guidelines which are shown in Table 4–1.

 Table 4–1
 Screenline Flow Validation Criterion and Acceptability Guidelines

Criterion and Measure	Acceptability Guideline
Differences between modelled flows and counts should be less than 5% of the counts	All or nearly all screen-lines

Source: TAG Unit M3.1 Table 1

With regard to screen-line validation, the following should be noted:

- Screen-lines should ideally be made up of 5 links or more;
- The comparisons for screen-lines containing high flow routes such as motorways should be presented both including and excluding these links;
- The comparison should be presented separately for Road Side Interview screen-lines where they exist, other screen-lines (made up of ATC for example) to be used as constraints in matrix estimation, and screen-lines used for independent validation.
- The comparisons should be presented by vehicle type (cars, light goods vehicles and other goods vehicles)
- The comparisons should be presented separately for each modelled period.

Four calibration screen-lines/cordons have been derived across the Southport highway assignment model, which are listed below:

- Outer Cordon,
- Screenline B,
- Screenline D and,
- Screenline E (Validation Screenline)

The location of the screen-lines are shown in Figure 4.1.

Figure 4–1 Screenlines Locations



#### 4.3.2. Link Flow and Turning Movement Validation

There are two measures which are used for individual link validation which are link flow and the GEH statistic. The flow measure of validation is based on the difference between modelled flows and observed counts, with three different criteria set depending on the magnitude of the observed flows. The GEH statistic

is also based on the comparison between modelled and observed flow. The form of the GEH statistic is as follows:

$$\mathsf{GEH} = \sqrt{\frac{\left(M-C\right)^2}{\left(M+C\right)/2}}$$

Where :

M is the modelled flow, and

C is the observed flow.

TAG Unit M3.1 describes the Link Flow and Turning Movements Validation Criteria and Acceptability Guidelines which are given in Table 4–2.

#### Table 4–2 Link Flow and Turning Movements Validation Criteria and Acceptability Guidelines

Criteria and Measures	Acceptability Guideline
Individual flows within 100 veh/hr for flows less than 700 veh/hr	> 85% of cases
Individual flows within 15% for flows from 700 to 2,700 veh/hr	> 85% of cases
Individual flows within 400 veh/hr for flows more than 2,700 veh/hr	> 85% of cases
GEH <5 for individual flows	> 85% of cases

Source: TAG Unit M 3.1 Table 2

With regard to flow validation, the following should be noted:

- The above criteria should be applied to both link flows and turning movements;
- The comparisons should be presented for cars and all vehicles but not for light and other goods vehicles unless sufficiently accurate link counts have been obtained; and
- The comparisons should be presented separately for each modelled period.

#### 4.3.3. Journey Time Validation Criterion and Acceptability Guidelines

The Southport traffic model has also been validated against observed journey time data to establish the extent to which travel times and delays are accurately represented in the model.

The observed journey time data used to validate the model have been obtained from Traffic Master data.

TAG Unit M3.1 describes the Journey Time Validation Criterion and Acceptability Guidelines, which are given in Table 4–3.

#### Table 4–3 Journey Time Validation Criterion and Acceptability Guideline

Criterion and Measure	Acceptability Guideline
Modelled times along routes should be within 15% of surveyed times (or 1 minute, if higher than 15%)	> 85% of routes

Source: TAG Unit M 3.1 Table 3

#### 4.3.4. Convergence Criteria and Standards

Advice on model convergence is set out in TAG Unit M3.1 (Table 4) and is reproduced in Table 4-4.

The convergence of the assignment is measured with respect to two criteria.

- **Convergence Stability**, which is the condition 'P>90%', where 'P' is the proportion of modelled links showing a change in flows of less than 5% for four successive iterations; and
- Convergence Proximity, which requires the value of the delta parameter to be less than 1%. The
  delta parameter measures the total cost of excess travel for all origin-destination pairs in the model.
  The smaller the value of delta, the closer is the model to choosing the minimum cost route and
  thereby achieving Wardrop user equilibrium in the assignment.

#### Table 4–4 Summary of Convergence Criteria

Convergence Measures	Туре	Base Model Acceptable Values		
Delta & %GAP	Proximity	Less than 0.1% or at least stable with convergence fully documented and all other criteria met		
Percentage of links with flow change (P1) < 1%		Four consecutive iterations greater than 98%		
Percentage of links with cost change (P2) < 1%	Stability	Four consecutive iterations greater than 98%		
Percentage change in total user costs (V)		Four consecutive iterations less than 0.1% (SUE only)		

Source: TAG Unit M3.1 Table 4

## 5. Model Calibration

### 5.1. Introduction

This section of the report provides details of the techniques used and the changes made during the model calibration process.

### 5.2. Network Calibration

The calibration of the highway model has been undertaken using a standard approach where the network is adjusted to ensure that the model gives plausible and expected traffic flows, routeing and speeds.

The calibration procedure involved the following activities:

- Checks to ensure that link speeds on the network are realistic, and speed/flow calculations are
  operating as expected;
- Checks to ensure that delay calculations at junctions are realistic; and,
- Adjustment and checking of the network to ensure plausible and realistic routeing of traffic.

Highway network calibration is undertaken to achieve observed traffic characteristics in terms of speeds, traffic throughputs and delays by investigating pinch points and problem areas highlighted by the initial model assignments.

The process involved checking and adjusting the highway network principally along the major corridors. Checks are undertaken to ensure that link lengths, turn saturation flows and capacities are correct. Adjustments are also made to speed/flow curves and to centroid connector loading points where appropriate. The allocation of centroid connectors for internal zones is examined to verify that trips are loading onto the network at locations that are both sensible and realistic.

Other checks carried out include:

- **Counts in excess of capacity** where an observed count is noticeably higher than the coded network capacity, the capacities are checked and amended if necessary;
- **Excessive junction delays** the largest node delays and the largest differences between the link travel times and the observed data from Traffic Master are checked;
- Low/High flows where the modelled flow is substantially different from the observed count; this
  indicates the possibility of locations where traffic was either restricted at an upstream junction, where
  a competing route was more attractive, or where delays at junctions are not well represented in the
  model, these can then be investigated to ensure that the coding in the model is correct;
- **Poor reproduction of observed travel times** detailed comparisons of modelled travel times against the observed journey time routes reveal locations where additional modifications to junction parameters may be necessary in order to replicate the observed levels of delay.

## 5.3. Route Choice Calibration

The ability of the model to robustly represent route choice within the network depends on:

- Correct zone sizing and definition, network structure and the realism of the zone connections to the modelled network (centroid connectors);
- The accuracy of the network coding;
- The accuracy with which delays at junctions and link cruise speeds are modelled; and
- The accuracy of the trip matrices, which, when assigned, will impact the route choice process (via the flow/delay and speed/flow relationships).

Routing was checked between twenty selected OD pairs in the model by comparing modelled routing against the routing observed from internet based journey planners.

The model was observed to route favourably compared with the internet based journey planners. Route choice is presented in 7.4.Appendix B of this report.

## 5.4. Matrix Estimation

TAG Unit M3.1 advises that the primary purpose of matrix estimation is to refine estimates of trips not intercepted in surveys which have been synthesised, usually by means of a gravity model. The Southport model has utilised Traffic Master data to provide an additional source of data to the RSI surveys and gravity models, it is recognised however, that matrix estimation is still required to calibrate the matrices..

The development of the prior matrix was described in the previous section and the modelled flows were compared to the observed counts for the calibration cordons and screen-lines to determine whether further matrix calibration was required using matrix estimation.

The comparison of the observed and modelled flows across the screen-lines is summarised in Table 5–1 to Table 5–3 for the prior trip matrices (including external to external movements) for all time periods.

Although the difference between modelled and observed flows for the calibration cordons and screen-lines is outside of the TAG targets (as defined in Table 1 of TAG unit M3.1) for all three time periods, it is felt that the model achieves a good degree of validation for the prior matrix. This essentially means that the refinements done by matrix estimation would not be significant so as to cause major alternations to the observed trip patterns.

Cordon	Direction	Percent Difference
Outor cordon	In bound	2%
Outer cordon	Out bound	8%
Sereenline D	East bound	9%
Screenine D	West bound	-13%
Saraanlina E	North bound	2%
Screeniine E	South bound	-17%

#### Table 5–1 Summary of Screenline and Cordon Validation (Prior Matrix) – AM Peak hour

#### Table 5–2 Summary of Screenline and Cordon Validation (Prior Matrix) – Inter Peak hour

Cordon	Direction	Percent Difference
Outor cordon	In bound	26%
Outer cordon	Out bound	26%
Sereenline D	East bound	-13%
Screeniine D	West bound	19%
Soroonling F	North bound	1%
Screenine E	South bound	-2%

Table 5–3 Summary of Screenline and Cordon Validation (Prior Matrix) – PM Peak hour

Cordon	Direction	Percentage Difference
Outor cordon	In bound	-4%
Outer coluon	Out bound	8%
Sereenline D	East bound	9%
Screenine D	West bound	-14%
Saraanlina E	North bound	-5%
Screeniine E	South bound	4%

Matrix estimation was applied to the prior trip matrix to improve the matrix calibration using the SATURN SATME2 process and the following principles were adopted:

- Counts used as constraints in matrix estimation were derived from two-week ATCs or 1 day MCC counts; and
- Constraints were applied at the Car, LGV and HGV level.

### 5.5. The SATME2 Process

The SATURN modules SATME2 and SATPIJA are used for matrix estimation and in combination attempt to match assigned link flows in the model with observed traffic counts. The matrix estimation process forms part of the calibration process and is designed to modify the origin-destination volumes by reference to the observed traffic counts. Trips are adjusted in the prior matrix to produce the estimated matrix, which is most likely to be consistent with the traffic counts. The equation used may be written as:

where:

T<sub>ij</sub> is the output estimated matrix of OD pairs ij;

tij is the prior matrix of OD pairs ij;

 $\prod_a$  is the product over all counted links a;

X<sub>a</sub> is the balancing factor associated with counted link;

<sup>Pija</sup> is the fraction of trips from I to j using link a.

This process is dependent on several factors, and therefore must be monitored closely to ensure that:

- The trip matrix is converging to a stable solution;
- Travel patterns at a sector level are reasonable;
- Changes should not be significant; and
- Trip length distributions are reasonable.

Using the SATPIJA control file, checks are made to ensure that the overall trip distribution of the original prior trip matrix is maintained by limiting the change to cell values for Cars, LGV and HGV.

The matrix estimation process is applied to adjust the car matrix followed by light vehicle matrix and then followed by heavy vehicle matrix. In total six matrix estimation iterations are implemented. As described previously, the link counts used in the matrix estimation process are formed as a series of calibration screenlines for Car, LGV and HGV matrices. In addition, diligence is exercised to ensure that the quality and consistency of the input count data is high.

## 5.6. Impact of Matrix Estimation

TAG unit M3.1 states that the changes brought by matrix estimation should not be significant. The criteria by which the significance of changes is measured is presented in Table 5–4.

Measure	Significance Criteria
Matrix zonal cell values	Slope within 0.98 and 1.02 Intercept near zero R <sup>2</sup> in excess of 0.95
Matrix zonal trip ends	Slope within 0.99 and 1.01 Intercept near zero R <sup>2</sup> in excess of 0.98
Trip length distributions	Means within 5% Standard deviations within 5%
Sector to sector level matrices	Differences within 5%

 Table 5–4
 Significance of Matrix Estimation Changes

Source: TAG Unit M3.1 Table 5

#### 5.6.1. Matrix totals

To show the impacts of the SATME2 on the matrices, a comparison of matrix totals before and after the SATME2 process is presented in Table 5–5.

There is no current guidance set out in TAG unit M 3.1 on the acceptability of the amount of change brought about by matrix estimation to the matrix totals.

Table 5-5 shows that the matrix estimation process does not significantly alter the trip totals for Car and LGV's. Matrix estimation has some impact on the HGV matrices with the totals changing by around 30% for the AM and PM peaks and 80% for the Inter Peak.

The main reason for the larger change in the HGV matrices is that the source data for the HGV movements around Southport is more limited than for Cars and LGV's, this requires the matrix estimation process for HGV movements is given more flexibility than that for Cars and LGV's.

Time		Cars			Lights			Heavies	
Period	Prior	Post ME2	% Change	Prior	Post ME2	% Change	Prior	Post ME2	% Change
AM	13318	14675	9%	2534	2692	6%	569	760	34%
IP	10068	9025	-10%	1807	1570	-13%	393	710	81%
PM	14455	15447	7%	1356	1443	6%	345	458	33%

 Table 5–5
 Comparison of Matrix Totals – Prior and Post ME2

#### 5.6.2. Matrix Zonal Values

Matrix zonal changes by time period are presented in Table 5–6. This analysis demonstrates that matrix estimation has an impact at an individual matrix cell level as values do not meet the TAG criteria.

This can be attributed to the following:

- Traffic Master data/census journey to work data were the primary sources of car data for the internal to internal movements. These are available at an aggregate zonal level and had to be rezoned and trips be reallocated to the smaller Southport zones. Population and employment data from 2011 census data also had to be disaggregated to the smaller Southport zones.
- An average trip rate was worked out for the entire Southport TEMPRO zone (based on NTEM tripends and demographic data) and was applied to each of these Southport zones.
- Traffic Master data was used to build the LGV matrices, however, expansion factors had to be calculated at sector level.
- The HGV data was not as comprehensive as the data for Cars and LGV's. Road Side Interviews at an outer cordon level was the only source of data for HGV movements, this meant that the HGV Internal to Internal matrix had to rely solely on traffic count data.

Measure	Significance Criteria	AM	IP	PM
Matrix Zonal Cell Values	Slope within 0.98 and 1.02	0.81	0.79	0.80
	Intercept near zero	0.00	0.00	0.00
	R <sup>2</sup> in excess of 0.95	0.60	0.63	0.62
Matrix Zonal Trip Ends (Rows)	Slope within 0.99 and 1.01	0.98	0.84	0.97
	Intercept near zero	0.00	0.00	0.00
	R <sup>2</sup> in excess of 0.98	0.71	0.85	0.78
Matrix Zonal Trip Ends	Slope within 0.99 and 1.01	0.98	0.83	0.93
(Columns)	Intercept near zero	0.00	0.00	0.00
	R <sup>2</sup> in excess of 0.98	0.69	0.78	0.65

 Table 5–6
 Matrix Estimation Changes by Time Period

#### 5.6.3. Matrix Trip Length Distribution

The comparison of trip length distribution between the pre and post matrix estimation matrices is contained in 7.4. Appendix D of this reportTable 5–1 for each time period and user class. This analysis demonstrates

that matrix estimation has a fairly small impact on the trip matrices. Table 5-7 presents the Mean and Standard Deviation for Trip Length Distribution by time period for all user class.

Ca		ır LGV		HGV		
Time Period	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
AM	-17%	-5%	-25%	-12%	-6%	-5%
IP	-17%	-8%	-18%	-4%	-8%	-10%
PM	-14%	-3%	-28%	-14%	-12%	-8%

 Table 5–7
 % Change (post vs prior) in Mean and Standard Deviation for Trip Length Distribution

#### 5.6.4. Sector changes

Tables 5-8 to 5-10 show the impact of the matrix estimation process at a sector to sector level. The analysis below uses a three sector system developed from seven sector system shown in Figure 2–4. Sectors 1, 4, 5 are named North, Sectors 2, 3, 7, South and Sector 6 as East. All values are presented in PCUs.

Table 5–8	Impact of Matrix Estimation at Sector to Sector Level – AM Peak
-----------	-----------------------------------------------------------------

Sector	North	South	East
North	976 (27%)	538 (20%)	-71 (-21%)
South	-43 (-1%)	463 (8%)	3 (1%)
East	-86 (-42%)	-74 (-9%)	0 (0%)

Table 5–9	Impact of Matrix Estimation at Sector to Sector Level – IP

Sector	North	South	East
North	-546 (-18%)	-195 (-15%)	-16 (-3%)
South	-219 (-18%)	211 (5%)	-165 (-26%)
East	-36 (-7%)	2 (0%)	0 (0%)

Table 5–10	Impact of Matrix	Estimation at	Sector to	<b>Sector Leve</b>	I – PM

Sector	North	South	East
North	396 (12%)	307 (11%)	76 (29%)
South	-504 (-11%)	902 (23%)	0 (0%)
East	-79 (-16%)	92 (31%)	0 (0%)

Sector to sector changes brought about by matrix estimation process meets the WebTAG criteria for certain sector movements. However, some sector to sector movements do show significant percentage changes. For the sector movements where the WebTAG criteria is not met, the change brought about by matrix estimation can be attributed to the absence of 'water-tight screen-lines' due to a lack of suitable count data at certain locations to aid matrix factoring, leading to partial control in the factoring of the prior matrices.

It should also be noted however that the absolute change in the matrix sector totals is not significantly high and the movements which do not meet the WebTAG criteria in general do not contribute to more than 20% of the matrix totals.
## 5.7. Matrix Calibration

Calibration of the post matrix estimation matrices was undertaken by comparing total screen-line and cordon modelled flows and counts by vehicle type and time period. The assessment criteria follows those defined in TAG Unit M3.1 Table 1, which states that the differences between modelled flows and counts should be less than 5% of the counts for all or nearly all screen-lines. The results of this assessment are shown in Table 5–11 and are summarised below.

Cordon	Direction	AM Total	IP Total	PM Total
Outer cordon	Inbound	-9%	-4%	-6%
	Outbound	-3%	-5%	-3%
Screenline-D	Eastbound	7%	-5%	-2%
	Westbound	-3%	7%	2%
Screenline-E	Northbound	-5%	-9%	-5%
	Southbound	-4%	-8%	1%
Validation Screen line	Eastbound	4%	-3%	-6%
(Screen line-B)	Westbound	5%	-3%	-2%

Table 5–11	Summary of Screenli	ne and Cordon	Calibration	(Post Matrix	<b>Estimation</b> )
------------	---------------------	---------------	-------------	--------------	---------------------

Note - Total flows represent sum of all user classes, bus flows and PassQ flows

#### Morning peak

- Four out of six of the screen-lines meet acceptability guidelines for all vehicles.
- The outer cordon inbound direction fails to meet the criteria with a flow difference of –9% and a GEH of 7 for all vehicles. This flow difference is in particular observed on the A565-Water Lane and Marshside Road which form the outer cordon boundary. It is however, noted that A565-Water lane meets the WebTAG criteria for link flow difference. This indicates that these small changes at a link level combine to form a larger change at the screen-line level.

- The validation screen-line meets the acceptability guidelines across all vehicles.

#### Inter-peak:

- Three out of six calibration screen-lines meet acceptability guidelines for all vehicles.
- Screenline D in the westbound direction fails with a flow difference of 7% for all vehicles. The absolute flow difference in this case is very low at only 32 pcus.
- Screen-line E fails to meet acceptability guidelines for all vehicles in both directions, however, all individual links on this screen-line meet the WebTAG criteria. Again the small changes at a link level combine to form a larger change at the screen-line level.
- The validation screen-line meets acceptability guidelines for all vehicles.

#### Evening peak:

- Five out of six calibration screen-lines meet acceptability guidelines for all vehicles.
- The outer cordon inbound direction fails to meet the criteria with a flow difference of -6% but has a GEH of 5 for all vehicles. This flow difference is observed in particular for A565-Water Lane and Guildford Road which form the outer cordon boundary, however the A565-Water Lane meets the WebTAG criteria for GEH and link flow difference. This indicates that the small changes at a link level combine to form a larger change at the screen-line level.
- The validation screen-line meets the acceptability guidelines across all vehicles in the westbound direction; the eastbound direction fails marginally with a difference of -6% but with a GEH value of 3.

# 6. Model Validation

## 6.1. Overview

The validation of a traffic model is undertaken to demonstrate that the model reproduces the existing travel patterns within the study area in a robust manner. As such, analysis of the model assignments is required to summarise the accuracy of the base model and establish that it is suitable as a basis from which to prepare forecasts:

Validation of the model encompasses:

- Network validation in terms of range checking and routeing;
- Assignment validation (link based validation); and,
- Journey time validation.

## 6.2. Ad-Hoc Flow Validation

Flow validation was undertaken by comparing modelled flows and counts on individual links for all time periods. The assessment criterion follows those defined in TAG Unit M3.1 Table 2.

Results of the model calibration/validation are shown in Table 6–1 to Table 6–3. A summary of the analysis is given below:

	Direction	Number of counts	Flow criteria (% pass)	GEH (% pass)
	Outer Cordon-Inbound	12	92%	83%
	Outer Cordon-Outbound	12	92%	75%
Calibration	Screenline D -East bound	5	100%	100%
	Screenline D -West bound	5	60%	60%
	Screenline E North bound	5	100%	100%
	Screenline E South bound	5	100%	100%
Velidetics	Screenline B East bound	5	80%	60%
validation	Screenline B West bound	5	100%	100%

 Table 6–1
 Summary of individual links (Post Matrix Estimation) – AM Peak hour

	Direction	Number of counts	Flow criteria (% pass)	GEH (% pass)
	Outer Cordon-Inbound	12	92%	75%
	Outer Cordon-Outbound	12	92%	83%
Calibration	Screenline D -East bound	5	100%	100%
	Screenline D -West bound	5	100%	100%
	Screenline E North bound	5	100%	80%
Screenline E South bound		5	100%	100%
) ( - listetie e	Screenline B East bound	5	100%	100%
validation	Screenline B West bound	5	100%	100%

Table 6–2	Summary of individual links (Post Matrix Estimation) – IP hour
-----------	----------------------------------------------------------------

#### Table 6–3 Summary of individual links (Post Matrix Estimation) – PM Peak hour

	Direction	Number of counts	Flow criteria (% pass)	GEH (% pass)
	Outer Cordon-Inbound	12	92%	92%
	Outer Cordon-Outbound	12	100%	100%
Calibration	Screenline D -East bound	5	60%	60%
	Screenline D -West bound	5	100%	100%
	Screenline E North bound	5	100%	100%
	Screenline E South bound	5	100%	100%
Validation	Screenline B East bound	5	100%	80%
Validation	Screenline B West bound	5	100%	100%

AM Peak,

- Calibration, the percentage of individual links which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 91% and 84% comply on GEH criteria.
- Validation, the percentage of individual links which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 90% and 80% comply on GEH criteria.

Inter Peak,

- Calibration, the percentage of individual links which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 95% and 86% comply on GEH criteria.
- Validation, the percentage of individual links which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 100% with 100% complying on GEH criteria.

PM Peak,

- Calibration, the percentage of individual links which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 93% with 93% complying on GEH criteria.
- Validation, the percentage of individual links which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 100% and 90% comply on GEH criteria.

## 6.3. Turning Flow Validation

Turning count validation was undertaken by comparing modelled turn flow and junction turn counts at individual junctions across the model for all time periods. The assessment criterion follows that defined in TAG Unit M3.1 Table 2, which states that 85% of the modelled links should meet acceptability guidelines for flow criteria and GEH criteria.

Figure 6-1 shows the location of the junctions used in the analysis.



### Figure 6–1 MCC Turn Count Locations

Results of the junction calibration is shown in Table 6–14. A summary of the analysis is also given below:

AM Peak, - The percentage of individual turns which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 80%: Inter Peak - The percentage of individual turns which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 65%: PM Peak - The percentage of individual turns which comply with the DMRB flow criteria is 83%.

Calibration or Validation	Time Period	Number of junction/turns	Flow criteria (% pass)
	AM Peak	11 Junctions (121 individual turns)	80%
Calibration	Inter Peak	9 Junctions (97individual turns)	65%
	PM Peak	11 Junctions (121 individual turns)	83%

Table 6–4	Summary of Junction	Turning Calibration	(Post Matrix Estimation	) – All time periods
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	alling ounstation	1. 000	

It is observed that the turn flows marginally fail to achieve the WebTAG criteria during all three time periods at certain locations. It should be noted however that WebTAG does recognise that the acceptability criteria for turning movements can be difficult to achieve.

WebTAG does not specifically request validation at an individual junction level (rather asks for all turns to be put together).

The detailed turn validation is given in Table 6-5.

#### Table 6–5 Summary of Individual Turns (Post Matrix Estimation) – AM Peak.

Calibration or Validation	Junction Location	Number of turns	Flow criteria (% pass)
	A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	12	92%
	Marine Drive / Fairway	9	67%
	Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	16	83%
	B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	6	83%
Calibration	A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	6	50%
	Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	12	92%
	A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267 Ash St / Cemetery Rd	12	92%
	A5267 Eastbourne Rd / Claremont Rd	12	83%
	Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane / Arundel Rd	12	83%
	Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	12	92%
	Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	12	92%

Calibration or Validation	Junction Location	Number of turns	Flow criteria (% pass)
	A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	12	58%
	Marine Drive / Fairway	9	67%
	Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	16	75%
Calibration	B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	6	50%
	A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	6	33%
	Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	12	83%
	A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267 Ash St / Cemetery Rd	12	67%
	A5267 Eastbourne Rd / Claremont Rd	12	83%
	Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane / Arundel Rd	12	83%

#### Table 6–6 Summary of Individual Turns (Post Matrix Estimation) – IP hour

#### Table 6–7 Summary of Individual Turns (Post Matrix Estimation) – PM Peak hour

Calibration or Validation	Junction Location	Number of turns	Flow criteria (% pass)
	A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	12	58%
	Marine Drive / Fairway	9	100%
	Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	16	100%
	B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	6	67%
Calibration	A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	6	50%
	Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	12	92%
	A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267 Ash St / Cemetery Rd	12	92%
	A5267 Eastbourne Rd / Claremont Rd	12	92%
	Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane / Arundel Rd	12	92%
	Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	12	100%
	Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	12	100%

## 6.4. Model Convergence

The model convergence for each time period is summarised in Table 6–8. Table 6-8 shows that the model has achieved a high level of convergence for all three time periods, the delta and %gap values comfortably exceed the criteria specified in DMRB of 0.1%. Similarly, the %flow change achieved is higher than 98% in most cases, as required by DMRB.

Table 6–8 Summ	ry of Model Convergence
----------------	-------------------------

Time Period	Assignment - Simulation Loop	Delta (%)* (δ)	%Gap	% Flow Change (P)
	37	0.0088	0.048	98.0
0.8.4	38	0.0058	0.01	97.0
AM	39	0.0076	0.01	98.2
	40	0.0039	0.009	98.3
	11	0.0160	0.021	98.0
	12	0.0136	0.015	98.0
IP	13	0.0137	0.011	98.8
	14	0.0131	0.009	98.7
	25	0.0105	0.007	99.5
DM	26	0.0070	0.012	99.0
PIVI	27	0.0032	0.009	99.2
	28	0.0030	0.004	99.4

### 6.4.1. Journey Time Validation

Journey time validation has been undertaken using Traffic Master data. A total of 8 survey routes around the Southport area have been used to assess the accuracy of the modelled journey times.

Figure 6-2 shows the extent of the journey time analysis.

#### Figure 6-2 Journey Time Routes



Modelled journey times are compared against observed data for all three modelled periods. Summaries of the overall modelled and observed journey time comparisons for each route are provided in Table 6–9 to 6-11 for the AM Peak, Inter Peak and PM Peak periods respectively. Detailed section by section journey time plots are presented in 7.4. Appendix A of this report.

### Table 6–9 AM Peak Journey Time Validation

Route No.	Route Name	Direction	Observed	Modelled	Difference in Seconds	% difference	Compliance with DMRB criteria
Route 1	NB Coastal Road	NB	00:07:58	00:08:40	42	9%	$\checkmark$
	SB Coastal Road	SB	80:80:00	00:08:56	48	10%	~
Route 2	NB Plough Roundabout to Lord Street A565 to Town Centre	NB	00:07:24	00:08:16	52	12%	$\checkmark$
	SB Plough Roundabout to Lord Street A565 to Town Centre	SB	00:08:51	00:07:44	-67	-13%	~
Route 3	NB Plough Roundabout to Hawshead Rd via B5244	NB	00:08:02	00:07:06	-56	-12%	$\checkmark$
	SB Plough Roundabout to Hawshead Rd via B5244	SB	00:06:55	00:06:35	-20	-5%	$\checkmark$
Route 4	NB Albert St, Lord St, Waterloo Rd to Liverpool Rd	NB	00:10:24	00:09:57	-27	-4%	$\checkmark$
	SB Albert St, Lord St, Waterloo Rd to Liverpool Rd	SB	00:11:20	00:10:29	-51	-8%	~
Route 5	NB B5276 Kew Roundabout to Roe Lane	NB	00:05:27	00:06:11	44	13%	$\checkmark$
	SB B5276 Kew Roundabout to Roe Lane	SB	00:05:54	00:05:36	-18	-5%	$\checkmark$
Route 6	NB A570 from Scarisbrick to Lord St	NB	00:11:06	00:10:28	-38	-6%	~
	SB A570 from Scarisbrick to Lord St	SB	00:11:13	00:09:54	-79	-12%	$\checkmark$
Route 7	NB A5267 Hartswood Rd to Waterloo Rd Roundabout	NB	00:11:23	00:10:21	-62	-9%	$\checkmark$
	SB A5267 Hartswood Rd to Waterloo Rd Roundabout	SB	00:12:22	00:09:54	-148	-20%	×
Route 8	NB B5243 Moss Rd to B5208 Lulworth Rd	NB	00:06:18	00:05:36	-42	-11%	$\checkmark$
	SB B5243 Moss Rd to B5208 Lulworth Rd	SB	00:06:45	00:05:20	-85	-21%	×

### Table 6–10 Inter Peak Journey Time Validation

Route No.	Route Name	Direction	Observed	Modelled	Difference in Seconds	% difference	Compliance with DMRB criteria
Route 1	NB Coastal Road	NB	00:08:47	00:08:38	-9	-2%	$\checkmark$
	SB Coastal Road	SB	00:08:40	00:08:56	16	3%	$\checkmark$
Route 2	NB Plough Roundabout to Lord Street A565 to Town Centre	NB	00:07:47	00:07:21	-26	-6%	$\checkmark$
	SB Plough Roundabout to Lord Street A565 to Town Centre	SB	00:07:36	00:07:17	-19	-4%	✓
Route 3	NB Plough Roundabout to Hawshead Rd via B5244	NB	00:07:27	00:06:44	-43	-10%	$\checkmark$
	SB Plough Roundabout to Hawshead Rd via B5244	SB	00:06:49	00:06:38	-11	-3%	$\checkmark$
Route 4	NB Albert St, Lord St, Waterloo Rd to Liverpool Rd	NB	00:11:33	00:09:44	-109	-16%	×
	SB Albert St, Lord St, Waterloo Rd to Liverpool Rd	SB	00:11:25	00:10:18	-67	-10%	$\checkmark$
Route 5	NB B5276 Kew Roundabout to Roe Lane	NB	00:04:47	00:04:23	-24	-8%	$\checkmark$
	SB B5276 Kew Roundabout to Roe Lane	SB	00:04:45	00:04:19	-26	-9%	$\checkmark$
Route 6	NB A570 from Scarisbrick to Lord St	NB	00:11:20	00:09:41	-99	-15%	$\checkmark$
	SB A570 from Scarisbrick to Lord St	SB	00:10:56	00:09:46	-70	-11%	$\checkmark$
Route 7	NB A5267 Hartswood Rd to Waterloo Rd Roundabout	NB	00:09:45	00:09:59	14	2%	$\checkmark$
	SB A5267 Hartswood Rd to Waterloo Rd Roundabout	SB	00:09:58	00:09:18	-40	-7%	$\checkmark$
Route 8	NB B5243 Moss Rd to B5208 Lulworth Rd	NB	00:06:23	00:05:16	-67	-17%	×
	SB B5243 Moss Rd to B5208 Lulworth Rd	SB	00:06:07	00:05:11	-56	-15%	✓

Table 6–11	PM F	Peak J	ournev	Time	Validation
		oun o	carnoy		v and attorn

Route No.	Route Name	Direction	Observed	Modelled	Difference in Seconds	% difference	Compliance with DMRB criteria
Route 1	NB Coastal Road	NB	00:08:58	00:08:46	-12	-2%	$\checkmark$
	SB Coastal Road	SB	00:08:31	00:08:56	25	5%	✓
Route 2	NB Plough Roundabout to Lord Street A565 to Town Centre	NB	00:07:24	00:07:41	17	4%	$\checkmark$
	SB Plough Roundabout to Lord Street A565 to Town Centre	SB	00:07:26	00:07:32	6	1%	✓
Route 3	NB Plough Roundabout to Hawshead Rd via B5244	NB	00:08:34	00:08:43	9	2%	✓
	SB Plough Roundabout to Hawshead Rd via B5244	SB	00:06:46	00:06:45	-1	0%	$\checkmark$
Route 4	NB Albert St, Lord St, Waterloo Rd to Liverpool Rd	NB	00:10:45	00:09:55	-50	-8%	✓
	SB Albert St, Lord St, Waterloo Rd to Liverpool Rd	SB	00:11:44	00:10:29	-75	-11%	✓
Route 5	NB B5276 Kew Roundabout to Roe Lane	NB	00:05:47	00:05:02	-45	-13%	$\checkmark$
	SB B5276 Kew Roundabout to Roe Lane	SB	00:04:44	00:04:27	-17	-6%	✓
Route 6	NB A570 from Scarisbrick to Lord St	NB	00:10:55	00:09:55	-60	-9%	✓
	SB A570 from Scarisbrick to Lord St	SB	00:11:40	00:10:01	-99	-14%	✓
Route 7	NB A5267 Hartswood Rd to Waterloo Rd Roundabout	NB	00:10:07	00:11:06	59	10%	✓
	SB A5267 Hartswood Rd to Waterloo Rd Roundabout	SB	00:10:10	00:11:57	107	18%	×
Route 8	NB B5243 Moss Rd to B5208 Lulworth Rd	NB	00:06:20	00:05:28	-52	-14%	$\checkmark$
	SB B5243 Moss Rd to B5208 Lulworth Rd	SB	00:06:32	00:05:18	-74	-19%	×

Tables 6-9 to 6-11 show that the validation of the modelled journey times against observed journey times is excellent, with only Routes 7 and 8 failing in the southbound direction during the AM and PM peak periods and only Routes 4 and 8 northbound failing during the IP peak period.

# 7. Summary and Conclusions

## 7.1. Overview

The Southport Highway Assignment Model has been developed to simulate the movement of traffic on the strategic road network within the Southport area. The model includes Southport town in detail along with the wider hinterland area surrounding Southport coded as buffer network.

The model represents a typical weekday (Monday – Thursday) in October, 2013. It covers the AM peak hour (08:00 - 09:00), an average inter-peak hour (10:00 - 16:00) and the PM peak hour (17:00 - 18:00).

The model has utilised data from a number of local and national sources, which includes Traffic Master data, 2011 ONS Census data and local traffic counts.

This Local Model Validation Report has described the development of the modelled networks and trip matrices, and the calibration and validation of the SATURN traffic models.

The model has been tested against WebTAG Unit M3.1 and DMRB calibration and validation criteria for:

- Link flows across selected screen-lines and individual link flows;
- Model convergence;
- Journey Time comparison;
- Significance of Matrix Estimation Changes;
- Trip Length Distribution.

## 7.2. Model Development and Calibration

Traffic demand matrices were developed using roadside interview survey data and 2011 census journey to work data. Traffic count data was included in the process of matrix estimation to ensure that a robust and accurate set of demand matrices were developed for use in model assignments

The calibration monitoring process confirmed that the model network, matrices and assignments had converged to a satisfactory level.

## 7.3. Model Validation

Model validation was undertaken by comparing modelled traffic flows across screen-lines and at a number of ad-hoc junctions around the Southport area.

Validation across screen-lines and at the ad hoc sites, showed an excellent goodness of fit across the majority of the modelled area.

The models have been through a rigorous model development, calibration and validation process, using the latest available source data to provide accurate representations of current traffic conditions in and around Southport.

The journey time validation showed that the majority of journey time routes were very accurately modelled.

## 7.4. Conclusions

All three time period models are shown to calibrate and validate well against observed traffic data across the majority of the traffic model. The models are therefore deemed an accurate representation of current network conditions and suitable for use in future forecasting.

# **Appendices**

© Atkins Ltd except where stated otherwise.

The Atkins logo, 'Carbon Critical Design' and the strapline 'Plan Design Enable' are trademarks of Atkins Ltd.

## **Appendix A. Journey time**



**Timing Points** 
































































## **Appendix B. Route Choice**

Liverpool to Southport (London Street)





Ormskirk to Southport (London Street)



Preston to Southport (London Street)

Meols cop, Retail Park to Southport (London Street)



## **Appendix C. Detailed Link Flow Data**

Calibration - AM															
				С	ount			Мо	delled				_	DM	RB
Location	Dir	Saturn Link	Car	LV	HV	Total	Car	LV	HV	Total	Diff	% Diff	GEH	Flow	GEH
A565 / Marine Drive / The		4000.000	004	0.1		070	047		10	004	45	00/			
Plough	EB	1002-229	231	31	14	276	217	32	12	261	-15	-6%	1	~	~
Plough	WB	229-1002	405	47	25	477	428	60	9	497	20	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The															
Plough	SB	1064-229	306	49	16	371	306	49	16	371	0	0%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The	NR	229-1064	101	27	23	151	101	22	15	138	-13	-0%	1		
Flough	ND	229-1004	101	21	23	101	101	22	15	130	-13	-970	I	¥	v
Water lane	EB	1003-229	596	91	181	867	594	90	76	760	-107	-12%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Water lane	WB	229-1003	688	110	150	947	681	110	90	882	-66	-7%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
L7134 A570 Southport Road, at LC48, Scarisbrick	WB	1139-1130	381	57	88	526	402	57	88	547	21	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
L7134 A570 Southport Road,													_		
at LC48, Scarisbrick	EB	1130-1139	321	48	110	478	472	86	110	670	192	40%	8	×	×
B5243 Jacksmere Lane, W															
Scarisbrick	WB	1139-1122	115	20	23	158	112	12	2	126	-32	-20%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5243 Jacksmere Lane, W															
of Blackmoss Lane at LC13,															
Scarisbrick	EB	1122-1139	107	19	23	150	62	13	6	82	-68	-45%	6	$\checkmark$	×
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane / Arundel Rd	NB	1110-310	328	33	21	382	301	33	21	355	-26	-7%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane												. , 0			
/ Arundel Rd	SB	310-1110	185	27	23	235	180	26	23	229	-6	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane														,	
/ Arundel Rd	EB	311-310	391	65	46	502	385	30	46	462	-40	-8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
/ Arundel Rd	WB	310-311	515	37	18	570	489	36	39	567	-3	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267													-		
Liverpool Rd	NB	1105-314	273	31	12	316	173	31	12	224	-91	-29%	6	$\checkmark$	×

A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267							]									
Liverpool Rd	SB	314-1105	256	51	28	335		177	27	28	240	-95	-28%	6	$\checkmark$	×
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267		1109 227	462	40	10	520		110	10	22	502	20	50/	1	1	1
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267	IND	1100-327	403	49	10	550		419	40	- 33	502	-20	-0 /0	1	•	v
Liverpool Rd	SB	327-1108	424	46	14	484		402	46	37	487	3	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Costal road	SB	525-295	296	25	33	355		297	26	16	338	-17	-5%	1	~	~
Costal road	NB	295-525	377	82	55	514		373	83	16	472	-42	-8%	2	~	~
Marine Dr	SB	210-209	582	65	58	705		599	67	16	682	-22	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Dr	NB	209-210	361	40	15	416		346	38	13	397	-20	-5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood	0.5	040.044	0.57	47		070		400			100	1.10	<b>5</b> 40/	4.0		
Rd / Flyde Rd	SB	210-211	257	1/	2	276		129	6	1	136	-140	-51%	10	×	×
Rd / Flyde Rd	NB	211-210	217	25	7	249		171	8	7	185	-64	-26%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	124-476	180	16	5	201		293	18	3	314	113	56%	7	×	×
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	476-124	185	11	5	201		192	10	6	208	8	4%	1	~	~
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	124-480	256	28	18	302		271	27	19	325	22	7%	1	~	~
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	480-124	366	32	14	412		348	29	28	411	-1	0%	0	~	~
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	EB	125-482	108	13	117	238		192	13	52	263	25	10%	2	~	~
Manchester Rd/Hoghton				-						-		-				
Grove/Queens Rd	WB	482-125	205	47	9	261		194	47	9	257	-4	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd /																
Lane	EB	150-149	369	49	18	436		409	62	0	477	41	9%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd /																
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe		140 150	511	61	21	502		407	10	F	467	126	210/	F		
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	VVD	149-150	511	01	21	595		407	40	5	407	-120	-2170	5	~	^
/ Bispham Rd	EB	236-234	215	31	9	255		226	29	0	255	-1	0%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd		004.000	0.10	4.4	_	007		0000	0.1	6	057	40	4.407	6	1	1
/ Bispham Rd	WB	234-236	249	41	1	297		236	21	0	257	-40	-14%	2	✓	✓
Liverpool Road	NB	316-319	441	68	82	592		429	70	11	518	-74	-12%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Liverpool Road	SB	319-316	369	77	93	539		374	78	52	512	-27	-5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Kew road	NB	307-306	139	24	31	194		182	19	21	228	34	18%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Kew road	SB	306-307	163	28	43	234	16	9 2	26	25	225		-9	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
SOUTHBANK ROAD	NB	264-502	219	33	47	298	22	4 3	32	0	256		-42	-14%	3	~	$\checkmark$
SOUTHBANK ROAD	SB	502-264	277	41	42	360	29	6 4	45	22	363		2	1%	0	~	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	SB	8904-250	403	60	64	527	42	6 5	55	42	527		0	0%	0	~	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	NB	250-8904	370	55	59	484	35	8 4	12	42	447		-37	-8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B2576 MEOLS COP ROAD	NB	1141-1145	536	80	124	740	53	2 1 <sup>.</sup>	11	99	744		4	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B2576 MEOLS COP ROAD	SB	1145-1141	704	105	151	960	68	0 10	08	89	885		-75	-8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	·		·		·	PERCI	ENTA	E OF	IND	IVIDU,	AL LINKS	C C	OMPLY	ING WITH	DMRB	91%	84%

Validation - AM																	
	D:			Co	ount				Mo	delled			Diff	0/ D:ff		DM	RB
Location	Dir	Saturn Link	Car	LV	HV	Total		Car	LV	HV	Total		DIII	% DIII	GEH	Flow	GEH
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood Rd / Flyde Rd	EB	415-211	319	24	7	350		235	25	19	279		-71	-20%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood Rd / Flyde Rd	WB	211-415	170	19	2	191		211	25	7	243		52	27%	4	$\checkmark$	~
Cambridge Rd	EB	201-202	387	53	49	489		505	84	56	656		167	34%	7	×	×
Cambridge Rd	WB	202-201	535	73	72	680		527	75	35	650		-30	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	~
Roe Ln	EB	204-513	244	33	38	315		330	66	0	403		87	28%	5	~	$\checkmark$
Roe Ln	WB	513-204	298	41	46	385		338	53	0	397		12	3%	1	~	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	EB	221-220	410	53	16	479		347	38	9	400		-80	-17%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	WB	220-221	209	40	7	256		198	31	16	251		-5	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	~
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	WB	1149-221	69	7	0	76		95	18	9	122		46	60%	5	$\checkmark$	~
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	EB	221-1149	50	11	0	61		17	5	0	22		-39	-64%	6	$\checkmark$	×
						PERC	EN	ITAGE	OF INC		AL LINKS	С	OMPLY	ING WITH	DMRB	90%	80%

Summary Turns - AM																
	Saturn			C	ount			Мо	delled			D.11	0/ D:00	0.511	DM	RB
Location	Node	Saturn Turn	Car	LV	HV	Total	Car	LV	HV	Total		DIII	% Diff	GEH	Flow	GEH
	215	214-215-413	28	1	2	31	23	3	0	26		-5	-17%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	215	214-215- 1067	227	20	2	249	215	20	0	235		-14	-6%	1	$\checkmark$	~
	215	214-215- 1006	102	6	12	120	23	1	0	30		-89	-74%	10	$\checkmark$	×
	215	1006-215- 214	43	5	7	55	0	0	0	4		-51	-93%	9	$\checkmark$	×
	215	1006-215- 413	243	34	28	305	247	38	56	348		43	14%	2	$\checkmark$	~
A565 Preston New Rd /	215	1006-215- 1067	41	3	0	44	61	13	0	74		30	68%	4	$\checkmark$	~
Rd / Manor Rd	215	1067-215- 1006	32	4	2	38	65	2	0	66		28	73%	4	$\checkmark$	~
	215	1067-215- 214	153	17	5	175	232	23	0	255		80	46%	5	$\checkmark$	×
	215	1067-215- 413	206	32	12	250	226	16	0	242		-7	-3%	0	$\checkmark$	~
	215	413-215- 1067	245	22	21	288	111	20	0	131		-157	-55%	11	×	×
	215	413-215- 1006	289	46	39	374	295	50	35	387		12	3%	1	$\checkmark$	~
	215	413-215-214	34	3	2	39	0	0	0	0		-39	-100%	9	$\checkmark$	×
	145	462-145-209	295	30	9	334	229	20	13	262	ſ	-72	-22%	4	$\checkmark$	~
	145	462-145-464	63	4	2	69	15	1	0	16		-53	-77%	8	$\checkmark$	×
Marina Driva / Edirway	145	464-145-462	55	4	2	61	37	1	0	38		-24	-38%	3	$\checkmark$	~
Manne Drive / Fairway	145	464-145-209	51	6	2	59	127	17	0	144		85	143%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	145	209-145-464	174	14	5	193	285	14	0	299		106	55%	7	×	×
	145	209-145-462	461	62	12	535	318	55	16	389	ſ	-146	-27%	7	×	×
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	124	476-124-480	23	1	5	29	39	1	1	42	ſ	13	46%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	124	476-124-125	84	5	0	89	99	7	0	106	[	17	19%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

	124	476-124-411	77	5	0	82	53	2	5	60	-2	2	-26%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	124	411-124-476	37	6	2	45	58	5	0	63	1	3	39%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	124	411-124-480	186	20	14	220	228	26	18	279	5	)	27%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	124	411-124-125	65	11	12	88	192	20	0	223	13	5	154%	11	×	×
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	124	411-124-411	1	0	2	3										×
	124	125-124-411	152	21	9	182	63	6	2	82	-1(	00	-55%	9	×	×
	124	125-124-476	113	8	0	121	156	10	0	167	4	6	38%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	124	125-124-480	47	6	0	53	4	0	0	4	-4	9	-93%	9	$\checkmark$	×
	124	480-124-125	79	3	2	84	21	0	0	21	-6	3	-75%	9	$\checkmark$	×
	124	480-124-411	258	26	9	293	248	27	25	305	1	2	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	124	480-124-476	29	2	2	33	79	3	3	84	5		153%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	150	126-150-149	190	23	14	227	229	23	0	258	3		14%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	150	126-150-484	21	4	0	25	76	14	0	90	6	5	259%	9	$\checkmark$	×
B5245 Manchester Rd /	150	484-150-126	18	1	0	19	51	7	0	59	4	)	209%	6	$\checkmark$	×
Lane	150	484-150-149	167	24	2	193	180	39	0	220	2	5	14%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	150	149-150-484	189	25	16	230	217	25	5	247	1	7	7%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	150	149-150-126	313	35	5	353	190	23	0	219	-1:	33	-38%	8	×	×
	223	235-223-204	228	38	9	275	313	44	0	363	8	3	32%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	223	235-223-437	155	11	9	175	209	24	0	233	5	3	33%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276	223	437-223-235	165	25	14	204	271	10	0	281	7	7	38%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave	223	437-223-204	185	33	28	246	81	20	0	102	-1-	4	-59%	11	×	×
	223	204-223-437	228	21	16	265	131	30	0	161	-1(	)4	-39%	7	×	×
	223	204-223-235	381	48	7	436	292	37	0	335	-1(	)1	-23%	5	×	×
	234	237-234-221	11	4	7	22	24	3	0	27	5		23%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	234	237-234-233	387	33	21	441	275	33	0	308	-1:	3	-30%	7	×	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	234	237-234-236	92	11	0	103	102	7	0	109	6		6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
/ Bispham Rd	234	236-234-237	163	19	5	187	89	10	0	99	-8	8	-47%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	234	236-234-221	78	22	2	102	134	19	0	154	5		50%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

	234	236-234-233	ſ	8	0	0	8	2	0	0	2	-6	-74%	3	$\checkmark$	~
	234	233-234-236		6	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	-8	-99%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	234	233-234-237		291	45	30	366	309	29	0	338	-28	-8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
/ Bispham Rd	234	233-234-221		46	6	2	54	115	7	0	128	74	136%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	234	221-234-233		75	6	5	86	57	17	16	96	11	13%	1	$\checkmark$	~
	234	221-234-236		117	20	7	144	137	14	0	150	6	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	234	221-234-237		11	3	2	16	6	1	0	7	-9	-55%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	130	488-130-129		27	4	2	33	7	0	0	7	-27	-80%	6	$\checkmark$	×
	130	488-130-251		186	23	18	227	214	10	20	248	20	9%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	130	488-130-131		33	5	2	40	30	1	0	35	-6	-14%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	130	131-130-488		25	2	0	27	0	0	0	3	-24	-89%	6	$\checkmark$	×
	130	131-130-129		276	47	14	337	58	14	0	72	-265	-79%	19	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267	130	131-130-251		74	17	7	98	78	26	0	104	6	6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	130	251-130-131	ſ	39	8	5	52	21	13	0	34	-17	-34%	3	$\checkmark$	~
	130	251-130-488		268	34	18	320	234	1	0	239	-82	-25%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	130	251-130-129		101	5	2	108	42	28	0	70	-38	-35%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	130	129-130-251		51	6	5	62	124	8	1	134	72	117%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	130	129-130-131		382	59	23	464	341	58	22	420	-44	-9%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	130	129-130-488		22	3	2	27	19	0	0	19	-8	-30%	2	$\checkmark$	~
	305	304-305-303	ſ	31	3	0	34	7	0	0	7	-27	-78%	6	~	×
	305	304-305-306		23	7	0	30	68	12	9	89	59	197%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	305	304-305-320		1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	100%	1	$\checkmark$	~
	305	320-305-304	Γ	11	2	0	13	1	0	0	3	-10	-76%	3	~	~
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /	305	320-305-303	ſ	345	51	9	405	210	37	0	247	-158	-39%	9	×	×
Claremont Rd	305	320-305-306	ſ	91	6	7	104	15	4	0	20	-84	-81%	11	$\checkmark$	×
	305	306-305-320	ſ	77	8	7	92	29	1	0	29	-62	-68%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	305	306-305-304	ſ	41	8	5	54	149	14	12	175	121	226%	11	×	×
	305	306-305-303		72	20	0	92	69	21	9	105	13	14%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

	305	303-305-306	122	26	14	162	103	17	12	137	ſ	-24	-15%	2	$\checkmark$	~
Claremont Rd	305	303-305-320	261	48	25	334	286	59	17	362		27	8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	305	303-305-304	18	4	0	22	9	1	0	10		-12	-57%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	310	314-310-309	49	1	0	50	58	2	1	61		11	22%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	310	314-310- 1110	200	19	7	226	165	12	3	181		-45	-20%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	310	314-310-311	51	3	0	54	0	0	0	0		-54	-100%	10	$\checkmark$	×
	310	311-310-314	44	8	0	52	0	0	0	0		-52	-100%	10	$\checkmark$	×
	310	311-310-309	417	22	18	457	379	30	46	463		6	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Outleford Del (Neur Outleone	310	311-310- 1110	54	7	0	61	5	0	0	5		-56	-92%	10	$\checkmark$	×
/ Arundel Rd	310	1110-310- 311	43	8	12	63	18	0	0	18		-44	-71%	7	$\checkmark$	×
-	310	1110-310- 314	91	15	7	113	149	22	0	171		58	52%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	310	1110-310- 309	51	4	5	60	134	10	21	166		106	178%	10	×	×
	310	309-310- 1110	74	7	14	95	9	14	20	43		-52	-54%	6	$\checkmark$	×
	310	309-310-311	297	54	35	386	471	36	39	555		169	44%	8	×	×
	310	309-310-314	24	3	0	27	14	8	1	23		-4	-16%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	221	1149-221- 234	22	3	0	25	0	0	0	0		-25	-100%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	221	1149-221- 222	28	2	0	30	18	4	0	23		-7	-24%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	221	1149-221- 220	19	2	0	21	77	13	9	99		78	372%	10	$\checkmark$	×
	221	234-221-222	21	2	0	23	38	9	0	47		24	105%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispnam Rd/Wennington Rd	221	234-221-220	146	35	7	188	228	20	0	253		66	35%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
sispham Ro/wennington Ro	221	234-221- 1149	17	4	0	21	4	0	0	4		-17	-83%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	221	222-221-220	44	3	0	47	42	5	0	47		0	0%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	221	222-221- 1149	25	6	0	31	4	1	0	4		-27	-86%	6	$\checkmark$	×

	221	222-221-234	Γ	26	15	0	41		52	14	0	66	2	25	60%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Dianham DdM/annington Dd	221	220-221- 1149		8	1	0	9		10	4	0	14		5	53%	1	~	$\checkmark$
Disphant Ru/wennington Ru	221	220-221-234		354	44	14	412		148	18	16	188	-2	24	-54%	13	×	×
	221	220-221-222		48	8	2	58		40	9	0	49	-	9	-16%	1	~	$\checkmark$
	125	124-125-482		13	0	2	15		57	0	0	61	2	-6	299%	7	~	×
	125	124-125-531		139	14	7	160		231	27	0	264	1	04	65%	7	×	×
	125	124-125-410		72	8	0	80		25	0	0	25	-	55	-68%	8	~	×
	125	482-125-531		21	7	2	30		3	0	0	3	-:	27	-90%	7	~	×
	125	482-125-410		153	27	7	187		183	43	7	236	2	.9	26%	3	~	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton	125	482-125-124	Γ	31	13	0	44		8	4	2	18	-2	26	-59%	5	~	$\checkmark$
Grove/Queens Rd	125	531-125-410		57	9	0	66		62	0	0	62	-	4	-6%	1	~	$\checkmark$
	125	531-125-124		192	48	0	240		183	12	0	202	-:	38	-16%	3	~	~
	125	531-125-482		22	1	0	23		7	1	0	8	-	15	-67%	4	~	$\checkmark$
	125	410-125-124		61	15	2	78		32	0	0	32	-4	16	-59%	6	~	×
	125	410-125-482		73	12	115	200		128	12	52	194	-	6	-3%	0	~	$\checkmark$
	125 410-125-531 30 7 0 37 7 0 0 7 -30 -81% 6 ✓													$\checkmark$	×			
		PERCENTAGE	0	F INDI	VIDUA	L LINK	S COMP	LY	ING WI	THDM	RB						80%	53%

Additional Counts - AM															
	Dir			С	ount			Мо	delled		Diff	0/ D:ff		DN	RB
Location	Dir	Saturn Link	Car	LV	HV	Total	Car	LV	HV	Total	DIM	% Diff	GEH	Flow	GEH
Aughton Rd	EB	299-300	113	20	12	144	109	18	9	138	-6	-4%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Aughton Rd	WB	300-299	109	19	14	143	194	18	14	228	85	60%	6	$\checkmark$	×
Cemetery Rd	NB	133-132	466	70	56	592	377	71	9	461	-132	-22%	6	×	×
Cemetery Rd	SB	132-133	518	77	70	665	529	81	29	642	-23	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Coastal Rd	EB	8928-525	486	54	51	590	117	11	16	144	-446	-76%	23	×	×
Coastal Rd	WB	525-8928	422	47	28	497	164	47	16	227	-270	-54%	14	×	×

EastBank St	EB	106-529	298	45	68	411	251	45	20	328	-83	-20%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
EastBank St	WB	529-106	249	37	49	335	171	37	0	220	-115	-34%	7	×	×
Liverpool Rd M	NB	350-1103	491	73	45	610	593	79	45	717	107	18%	4	×	$\checkmark$
Liverpool Rd M	SB	1103-350	495	74	68	638	578	73	65	716	78	12%	3	~	~
Lord St	NB	298-138	528	52	70	651	535	58	44	649	-2	0%	0	~	~
Lord St	SB	138-298	440	43	40	523	437	43	41	531	8	2%	0	~	~
New Cut Ln	EB	1110-2100	292	36	23	351	180	26	23	229	-122	-35%	7	×	×
Waterloo Rd	NB	324-1074	495	47	69	612	460	47	33	541	-71	-12%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Waterloo Rd	SB	1074-324	353	45	86	484	350	46	36	433	-51	-10%	2	~	~
Meol's cop road	NB	1143-1141	443	69	82	593	532	111	99	744	151	25%	6	×	×
Meol's cop road	SB	1141-1143	605	84	82	771	661	98	89	855	84	11%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	EB	8905-250	446	81	118	645	361	36	43	446	-199	-31%	9	×	×
Scarisbrick New Rd	WB	250-8905	382	35	86	503	412	46	42	506	3	1%	0	~	~
FYLDE ROAD	NB	425-228	165	18	37	221	171	17	38	229	8	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
FYLDE ROAD	SB	228-425	300	33	45	379	315	33	45	395	16	4%	1	~	~
A565 PRESTON NEW		440.007	0.47	40	405	40.4	000		40	45.4		00/			
A565 PRESTON NEW	NB	413-227	347	43	105	494	360	44	43	454	-41	-8%	2	~	~
ROAD	SB	227-413	443	55	175	673	267	35	0	309	-364	-54%	16	×	×
BANKFIELD LANE	NB	1059-230	102	13	48	163	91	30	0	128	-35	-22%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
BANKFIELD LANE	SB	230-1059	267	33	88	388	269	31	3	309	-79	-20%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The	гр	1001 220	E A A	61	74	670	E 47	61	01	602	1.1	20/	1		
A565 / Marine Drive / The		1001-229	344	01	74	079	547	01	01	692	14	2%		v	v
Plough	WB	229-1001	469	59	41	569	438	34	45	520	-50	-9%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The	NR	8017-220	276	52	18	346	2/1	11	12	204	-52	-15%	3	1	1
A565 / Marine Drive / The		0311-223	210	J <u>Z</u>	10	540	241	-+1	12	234	-52	-1370	3	*	*
Plough	SB	229-8917	120	29	2	151	257	47	38	342	190	126%	12	×	×
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge															
Rd / Manor Rd	NB	215-214	230	25	14	269	232	23	0	259	-10	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

A565 Preston New Rd /																
Rd / Manor Rd	SB	214-215	357	27	16	400	2	62	24	0	292	-108	-27%	6	×	×
A565 Preston New Rd /																
Marshside Rd / Cambridge		1000 015	100	-0	-0			~~	- 1	-0	105	400	000/	-		
Rd / Manor Rd	EB	1006-215	423	56	53	532	3	08	51	56	425	-106	-20%	5	×	√
AS65 Presion New Ru / Marshside Rd / Cambridge																
Rd / Manor Rd	WB	215-1006	327	42	35	404	3	80	52	35	479	76	19%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Preston New Rd /																
Marshside Rd / Cambridge		4007.045	540	45	00	504		~~		0	500	40	00/		/	/
Rd / Manor Rd	NB	1067-215	513	45	23	581	5	22	41	0	563	-18	-3%	1	✓	✓
Marshside Rd / Cambridge																
Rd / Manor Rd	SB	215-1067	391	53	18	462	3	82	52	0	434	-28	-6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Preston New Rd /																
Marshside Rd / Cambridge	50	045 440	477	07	44	505		~			040	00	50/	4	/	/
A565 Proston New Pd /	EB	215-413	477	67	41	585	4	93	57	55	612	26	5%	1	~	v
Marshside Rd / Cambridge																
Rd / Manor Rd	WB	413-215	568	71	62	701	4	06	70	35	517	-184	-26%	7	×	×
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood																
Rd / Flyde Rd	NB	213-212	300	28	16	344	3	04	28	0	336	-8	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Rd / Flyde Rd	SB	212-213	355	34	16	405	3	51	34	0	389	-16	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood	00	212 210	000	04	10	-100		-	04	0	000	10	770			
Rd / Flyde Rd	EB	212-425	199	25	9	233	2	04	25	20	252	19	8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood																
Rd / Flyde Rd	WB	425-212	253	32	18	303	2	68	32	14	318	15	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Drive / Fairway	EB	462-145	516	66	14	596	2	44	22	13	278	-318	-53%	15	×	×
Marine Drive / Fairway	WB	145-462	358	34	12	404	3	55	55	16	427	23	6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Drive / Fairway	NB	464-145	238	18	7	263	1	64	18	0	182	-81	-31%	5	$\checkmark$	×
Marine Drive / Fairway	SB	145-464	107	10	5	122	2	99	15	0	315	193	159%	13	×	×
Marine Drive / Fairway	EB	145-209	347	36	12	395	3	56	38	13	406	12	3%	1	$\checkmark$	~
Marine Drive / Fairway	WB	209-145	636	76	16	728	6	03	69	16	688	-41	-6%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	411-124	488	52	21	561	4	79	51	18	565	4	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	124-411	289	37	30	356	3	64	35	32	448	92	26%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	NB	125-124	228	19	14	261	] [	223	17	2	252		-9	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	SB	124-125	312	35	9	356	1 [	313	27	0	350	ſ	-6	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	EB	126-150	352	38	5	395		305	36	0	348		-47	-12%	2	$\checkmark$	✓
B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	WB	150-126	223	30	14	267		241	31	0	278		11	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	NB	484-150	359	50	21	430		232	47	0	278		-151	-35%	8	×	×
B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	SB	150-484	258	37	5	300		293	39	5	337		37	12%	2	$\checkmark$	√
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	EB	235-223	546	73	21	640		522	68	0	596		-44	-7%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	WB	223-235	383	49	18	450		557	46	0	609	-	159	35%	7	×	×
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	NB	437-223	383	32	25	440		352	30	0	382	-	-58	-13%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	SB	223-437	350	58	41	449		340	54	0	394		-56	-12%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	EB	223-204	413	71	37	521		392	64	0	462		-59	-11%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	WB	204-223	609	69	23	701		423	67	0	496		-205	-29%	8	×	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	NB	234-237	465	67	37	569		395	39	0	434		-135	-24%	6	×	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	SB	237-234	490	48	28	566		400	44	0	444		-122	-22%	5	×	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	NB	233-234	470	39	25	534		424	36	0	466		-68	-13%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	SB	234-233	343	51	35	429		328	50	16	400		-29	-7%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	EB	234-221	135	32	12	179		269	29	0	304		126	70%	8	×	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	WB	221-234	203	29	14	246		200	32	16	254		8	3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267												Γ					
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	NB	130-488	315	39	21	375	25	1	1	0	259		-115	-31%	6	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267	00	400,400	0.40	00	00	204	0.5			00	000		10	407	4	/	
ASh St / Cemetery Rd	2B	488-130	246	32	23	301	25	1	11	20	289	┝	-12	-4%	1	V	~
AS70 Scansbrick Rd / AS267 Ash St / Cemeterv Rd	EB	131-130	454	72	30	556	13	6	40	0	179		-377	-68%	20	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267			_					-	-	-			-				
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	WB	130-131	375	66	21	462	39	2	72	22	489		27	6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267		251 120	214	46	20	207	20	-	40	0	242		4.4	110/	0		
ASII SL/ Cemelery Ru	IND	251-130	311	40	30	307	29	<i>'</i>	42	0	343	-	-44	-11%	2	v	v
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	SB	130-251	408	47	25	480	41	4	44	21	483		2	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267												Γ					
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	EB	130-129	404	56	18	478	10	5	41	0	146		-332	-69%	19	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267		100 100	455	60	20	EE O	40	4	66	22	570		01	40/	4		
ASIT St / Cernetery Ru	VVD	129-130	455	00	30	555	40	4	00	23	575	ŀ	21	470	1	v	v
Main Retail Park Rhout /																	
A570 / B5276	NB	260-1143	583	79	55	717	53	2	111	99	744		27	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																	
Main Retail Park Rbout /																	
A570 / B5276	SB	1143-260	726	90	48	864	66	1	98	89	855	L	-9	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																	
Main Retail Park Rbout /		260 11 12	450	50	20	FOF	40	4	20	40	500		10	20/	4	./	
A570 / B5270	INB	260-1142	452	53	30	535	43	4	39	43	522	ŀ	-12	-2%	1	v	v
Main Retail Park Rhout /																	
A570 / B5276	SB	1142-260	409	66	46	521	43	6	58	42	542		21	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /												-					
Main Retail Park Rbout /																	
A570 / B5276	EB	416-260	622	66	28	716	50	6	54	54	624		-91	-13%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																	
Main Retail Park Rbout /			1=0	~-		- 10		~	<b>.</b> -		_ / _		_	4.07	•	,	,
A570 / B5276	WB	260-416	450	37	23	510	44	3	37	21	515	ŀ	5	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5/0 Scarisbrick New Rd /																	
A570 / B5276		403-260	163	11	5	200	59	2	22	0	<u>8</u> 1		-127	-61%	11	<b>y</b>	<b>y</b>
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /	DI	403-200	103	41	5	209	- 50	,	23	0		┢	-121	-01/0	11	~	^
Main Retail Park Rbout /																	
A570 / B5276	SB	260-403	205	48	16	269	15	5	22	0	177		-92	-34%	6	$\checkmark$	×

A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /							1 [										
A570 / B5276	NB	1132-260	689	91	83	863		402	57	88	549	-	-314	-36%	12	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /							1										
Main Retail Park Rbout /																	
A570 / B5276	SB	260-1132	747	85	60	892	╎┝	472	86	110	672	-	-220	-25%	8	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																	
Main Retail Park Rbout /	ED	260 1122	01	17	2	110		00	16	0	106		4	10/	0		
A570 Scarisbrick Now Pd /	ED	200-1133	91	17	2	110	┨┝╴	90	10	0	100	-	-4	-4 /0	0	•	v
Main Retail Park Rhout /																	
A570 / B5276	WB	1133-260	63	21	9	93		63	21	0	84		-9	-10%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /					-		1  -			-	-		-				
Claremont Rd	NB	305-304	70	14	5	89		159	15	12	187		99	112%	8	$\checkmark$	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /							1 [										
Claremont Rd	SB	304-305	55	10	0	65	╎└	76	12	9	99		34	52%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /						107			10	•				<b>0-0</b> (			
Claremont Rd	FR	320-305	339	56	32	427	┥┝	226	42	0	270	-	-157	-37%	8	×	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd / Claremont Rd	WB	305-320	447	59	16	522		315	59	17	393		-129	-25%	6	×	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /							1  -								-		
Claremont Rd	NB	306-305	236	39	21	296		246	35	21	309		13	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /			100		10									10/		,	,
Claremont Rd	SB	305-306	190	36	12	238	┥┝	187	33	20	246		8	4%	1	✓	~
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /	ED	205 202	110	74	0	521		206	50	0	260		170	220/	0		<b>5</b>
A5267 Eastbourne Pd /	ED	305-303	440	74	9	551	┨┝╴	200	50	9	300	-	-172	-32%	0	~	
Claremont Rd	WB	303-305	401	78	39	518		397	76	29	509		-9	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane							1 [										
/ Arundel Rd	NB	310-314	159	26	7	192	$\downarrow$	162	31	1	194		2	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane	05	044.040	000	00		000			45	_	0.40			070/	_		
/ Arundel Rd	SB	314-310	300	23	1	330	┨┝╴	223	15	5	242		-88	-27%	5	~	×
/ Arundel Rd	EB	310-309	517	27	23	567		572	42	68	690		123	22%	5	×	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane		010 000	011	;	20	001	1  -	0.2			000		120	/0	0		
/ Arundel Rd	WB	309-310	395	64	48	507		493	58	60	621		113	22%	5	×	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267										. –							
Liverpool Rd	NB	312-313	590	91	41	722	┥┝	593	79	45	727		4	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267 Liverpool Rd	SB	1109-312	646	74	30	750		578	73	65	726		-24	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	\//P	221 224	202	20	14	246		200	22	16	254		0	20/	1		
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Pd	VVD	221-234	203	29	14	240		200	52	10	204		0	570	I	•	•
/ Bispham Rd	EB	234-221	135	32	12	179		269	29	0	304		126	70%	8	×	×
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	NB	221-222	95	24	0	119		96	23	0	119		0	0%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	SB	222-221	97	12	2	111		98	19	0	117		6	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	EB	410-125	164	34	117	315		167	12	52	234		-82	-26%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	EB	125-410	282	44	7	333		271	43	7	323		-9	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	EB	531-125	271	58	0	329		252	13	0	271		-58	-18%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	EB	125-531	190	28	9	227		240	27	0	274		46	20%	3	~	✓
A565 Southport New Road, Eastbound at LC74. Banks	EB	1003-8922	809	121	64	994		681	110	90	882		-112	-11%	4	~	$\checkmark$
A565 Southport New Road, Westbound at LC79, Banks	WB	8922-1003	603	90	125	818		594	90	76	760		-59	-7%	2	~	✓
L7135 A570 Southport Road, at LC120. Scarisbrick	WB	2103-2102	267	40	71	378		336	0	12	348		-30	-8%	2	$\checkmark$	✓
L7135 A570 Southport Road, at LC120. Scarisbrick	EB	2102-2103	241	36	80	357		241	36	29	306		-50	-14%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5243 Moss Road, West of Birkdale Cop, Halsall	EB	266-265	74	13	6	94		73	14	7	93		0	0%	0	~	~
B5243 Moss Road, West of Birkdale Cop, Halsall	WB	265-266	56	10	3	69		57	12	3	72		3	4%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
						PERC	EN	TAGE	OF INC	DIVIDU/	AL LINKS	C C	OMPLY	NG WITH	DMRB	71%	70%

Calibration - IP																
Location	Dir	Coturn Link			Co	ount			Mo	delled		Diff	0/ D:ff	CEU	DM	RB
Location	Dir	Saturn Link	C	ir I	LV	ΗV	Total	Car	LV	HV	Total	וווס	% DIII	GEH	Flow	GEH
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	SB	1002-229	6	6	8	25	99	156	11	10	177	78	1	7	$\checkmark$	×
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	NB	229-1002	7	1	7	17	96	165	16	12	192	97	1	8	$\checkmark$	×

A565 / Marine Drive / The											Γ					
Plough	SB	1064-229	54	9	10	73	54	9	12	75		2	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	NB	229-1064	50	9	12	70	42	9	12	63		-7	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Water lane	EB	1003-229	437	76	192	706	439	54	114	607		-99	0	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Water lane	WB	229-1003	466	89	125	680	473	57	110	639	Γ	-41	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
L7134 A570 Southport Road, at LC48, Scarisbrick	WB	1139-1130	684	68	69	822	682	60	86	828		7	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
L7134 A570 Southport Road, at LC48, Scarisbrick	EB	1130-1139	561	56	100	717	554	52	100	706		-11	0	0	$\checkmark$	~
B5243 Jacksmere Lane, W of Blackmoss Lane at LC13,																
Scarisbrick	WB	1139-1122	74	13	23	111	69	13	8	90		-21	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5243 Jacksmere Lane, W of Blackmoss Lane at LC13, Scarisbrick	EB	1122-1139	57	10	21	88	58	10	9	77		-11	0	1	$\checkmark$	~
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane / Arundel Rd	NB	1110-310	40	5	8	53	45	5	8	58		5	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane / Arundel Rd	SB	310-1110	43	6	17	66	43	6	17	65		-1	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane / Arundel Rd	EB	311-310	99	11	24	134	98	11	31	142		9	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane / Arundel Rd	WB	310-311	102	14	29	144	100	13	41	155		11	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267 Liverpool Rd	NB	1105-314	63	12	25	100	63	15	27	113		13	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267 Liverpool Rd	SB	314-1105	58	10	30	98	58	10	23	99		1	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267 Liverpool Rd	NB	1108-327	77	8	18	103	145	26	18	191		88	1	7	$\checkmark$	×
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267 Liverpool Rd	SB	327-1108	80	12	21	113	98	18	21	139		26	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Costal road	SB	525-295	289	32	35	356	289	32	16	337		-19	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Costal road	NB	295-525	359	44	49	452	361	45	19	426		-27	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Dr	SB	210-209	338	38	32	408	190	18	13	220		-187	0	11	×	×
Marine Dr	NB	209-210	316	35	27	378	198	16	13	227		-151	0	9	×	×

Marshside Rd / Fleetwood	0.5	040.044	10	_	•	50		10	_		50		0		<u> </u>	/	
Rd / Flyde Rd	SB	210-211	42	5	6	53	-	42	5	3	50		-3	0	0	✓	✓
Rd / Flyde Rd	NB	211-210	43	5	1	49		25	2	1	28		-21	0	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	124-476	55	4	2	61		55	4	0	59		-2	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	476-124	50	7	5	61		47	7	0	54		-7	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	124-480	116	12	35	162		108	12	35	162		0	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	480-124	95	12	17	124		95	12	39	152		28	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	EB	125-482	47	6	6	59		48	6	12	72		13	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	WB	482-125	55	6	5	66		55	6	5	73		7	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	EB	150-149	143	17	28	187		144	17	0	167		-20	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	WB	149-150	104	17	16	136		106	17	14	143		7	0	1	~	~
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	EB	236-234	46	8	8	62		46	5	0	52		-10	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	WB	234-236	54	12	7	73		54	10	0	65		-8	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Liverpool Road	NB	316-319	336	57	79	472		338	57	39	443		-29	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Liverpool Road	SB	319-316	315	56	107	478		316	56	51	431		-47	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Kew road	NB	307-306	150	21	31	202		89	17	4	116		-86	0	7	$\checkmark$	×
Kew road	SB	306-307	139	21	35	195		112	21	19	158		-38	0	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
SOUTHBANK ROAD	NB	264-502	185	18	40	243		187	18	0	205		-38	0	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
SOUTHBANK ROAD	SB	502-264	217	21	34	272		216	21	8	245		-27	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	SB	8904-250	394	39	57	490		390	39	36	469		-21	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	NB	250-8904	447	44	54	546		446	44	31	525		-20	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B2576 MEOLS COP ROAD	NB	1141-1145	591	58	122	771		589	58	86	735		-36	0	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B2576 MEOLS COP ROAD	SB	1145-1141	610	60	141	812		603	59	97	761		-51	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
						PERC	EN	TAGE			AL LINKS	С	OMPLY	NG WITH	DMRB	95%	86%

Validation - IP																	
Location	Dir	Soturn Link		C	ount				Mo	delled			Diff	0/ D;ff	CEU	DM	RB
Location	Dir	Saturn Link	Car	LV	HV	Total		Car	LV	HV	Total		DIII		GER	Flow	GEH
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood Rd / Flyde Rd	EB	415-211	34	4	5	42		37	4	3	44		3	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood Rd / Flyde Rd	WB	211-415	30	2	5	37		31	2	5	38		1	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Cambridge Rd	EB	201-202	399	60	62	520		398	60	46	515		-5	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Cambridge Rd	WB	202-201	440	66	71	577		441	66	53	573		-4	0	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Roe Ln	EB	204-513	269	40	34	343		269	40	0	316		-27	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Roe Ln	WB	513-204	221	33	34	288		223	33	0	262		-26	0	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
						PERC	EN	TAGE	OF INC	DIVIDU	AL LINKS	C	OMPLY	ING WITH	DMRB	100%	100%

Summary Turns - IP															
	Saturn	Saturn		C	ount			Мо	delled		Diff	0/ D:ff		DN	RB
Location	Node	Turn	Car	LV	HV	Total	Car	LV	ΗV	Total	DIM	% DIII	GEH	Flow	GEH
	215	214-215- 413	28	6	2	35	0	0	0	0	-35	-100%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	215	214-215- 1067	126	15	10	150	66	9	0	75	-75	-50%	7	$\checkmark$	×
A565 Preston New Rd /	215	214-215- 1006	51	11	3	64	1	1	0	8	-57	-88%	9	$\checkmark$	×
Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	215	1006-215- 214	42	7	1	50	0	0	0	4	-46	-92%	9	$\checkmark$	×
	215	1006-215- 413	266	41	51	358	101	22	46	176	-181	-51%	11	×	×
	215	1006-215- 1067	42	6	1	49	18	4	0	23	-26	-54%	4	$\checkmark$	~
	215	1067-215- 1006	43	7	3	53	13	1	0	15	-38	-72%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	215	1067-215- 214	140	17	7	163	41	7	0	48	-115	-70%	11	×	×

	215	1067-215-	241	20	22	201	Q	26	5	24	115	_17	-60%	12	~	~
A565 Preston New Rd /	215	413-215-	241	29	22	231		0	5	24	115	-17	-0078	12	~	~
Marshside Rd / Cambridge	215	1067	176	20	13	208	5	56	4	0	60	-14	-71%	13	×	×
Rd / Manor Rd		413-215-														
	215	1006	266	41	28	334	1(	03	17	53	180	-15	-46%	10	×	×
	215	413-215- 214	27	4	0	30	(	0	0	0	0	-30	-100%	8	$\checkmark$	×
		462-145-						<u> </u>	•							
	145	209	244	28	16	288	11	10	9	13	132	-15	-54%	11	×	×
		462-145-						_	•	•						
	145	464	54	6	2	62		3	0	0	3	-55	-95%	10	~	×
	145	464-145- 462	57	4	2	63	:	3	0	0	3	-60	-95%	10	$\checkmark$	×
Marine Drive / Fairway		464-145-														
	145	209	57	9	3	69	7	71	7	0	77	8	12%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	115	209-145-	60	10	2	70			4	0	00	10	220/	2		
	140	200-145-	00	10	2	12	0	54	4	0	00	10	2270	2	v	v
	145	462	282	24	10	316	9	98	13	13	124	-19	-61%	13	×	×
		476-124-														
	124	480	29	4	1	34	2	24	2	0	26	-7	-22%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	124	476-124-	78	14	2	94	1	4	4	0	18	-76	-81%	10	$\checkmark$	×
		476-124-			_				•		10		0170	10		
	124	411	42	5	1	47	9	9	1	0	10	-37	-79%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	404	411-124-		0				~	0	0	0		750/	_	/	
	124	476	-29	3	1	33	~	8	0	0	8	-24	-75%	5	<b>√</b>	×
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	124	411-124- 480	248	28	29	304	8	34	10	35	136	-16	-55%	11	×	×
		411-124-														
	124	125	76	9	7	92	3	32	5	0	47	-45	-49%	5	$\checkmark$	×
		125-124-														
	124	411	120	16	12	147	3	32	4	0	46	-10	-68%	10	×	×
	124	476	111	8	1	120	3	32	3	0	34	-85	-71%	10	$\checkmark$	×
		125-124-														
	124	480	70	3	5	77	(	0	0	0	0	-77	-100%	12	✓	×
	124	480-124-	67	8	0	75		$^{\circ}$	0	0	0	-75	-100%	12	~	ye .
	124	120	07	0	U	10		U	0	U	U	-70	-100 /0	12	v	~

Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	124	480-124- 411	194	25	17	235	79	11	39	135	-100	-43%	7	×	×
	124	480-124- 476	24	2	0	26	16	1	0	17	-9	-34%	2	✓	~
	121	126-150-				20			0			01/0			
	150	149	215	28	15	258	65	9	0	80	-178	-69%	14	×	×
	150	126-150- 484	20	7	3	29	10	3	0	13	-17	-56%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd /	150	484-150- 126	17	4	1	21	20	5	0	25	4	18%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	150	484-150- 149	201	21	13	234	79	8	0	87	-147	-63%	12	×	×
	150	149-150-	114	10	12	145	56	0	14	70	66	46%	6	1	~
	150	149-150-	114	19	13	145	50	9	14	19	-00	-40 /0	0	•	~
	150	126	189	29	3	221	50	8	0	64	-157	-71%	13	×	×
	223	235-223- 204	291	76	15	381	148	24	0	177	-204	-53%	12	×	×
		235-223-				100		_							
	223	437	136	12	18	166	29	5	0	34	-131	-79%	13	×	×
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276	223	235	146	16	10	172	76	8	0	84	-88	-51%	8	$\checkmark$	×
Norwood Ave	223	437-223- 204	205	19	22	245	18	3	0	21	-224	-91%	19	×	×
	222	204-223-	1/3	21	13	176	90	7	0	97	-70	-45%	7	1	~
	225	204-223-	145	21	15	170	30		0	51	-13	-4370	,	·	~
	223	235	243	29	10	282	68	17	0	91	-191	-68%	14	×	×
	234	237-234- 221	11	2	3	16	2	1	0	3	-13	-82%	4	~	$\checkmark$
	234	237-234-	264	28	25	317	100	8	0	108	-200	-66%	1/	~	~
	234	237-234-	204	20	23	517	100	0	0	100	-203	-0070	14	~	~
	234	236	64	9	5	77	13	3	0	17	-60	-78%	9	$\checkmark$	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	234	236-234- 237	71	14	5	89	27	3	0	30	-59	-66%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	234	236-234- 221	84	17	2	103	15	2	0	17	-86	-83%	11	~	×
	234	236-234- 233	8	5	0	13	4	0	0	4	-8	-66%	3	~	~

	234	233-234- 236	7	2	0	9	] [	6	0	0	6		-3	-36%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	224	233-234-	222		20	279		75	7	0	02		205	790/	10	~	~
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	234	237	323	21	29	370	4 }	75	1	0	02		-295	-7070	19	~	~
/ Bispham Rd	234	233-234- 221	49	7	3	58		30	5	9	50		-8	-14%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		221-234-															
	234	233	52	7	9	67		25	3	14	48		-19	-29%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		221-234-															
	234	236	67	14	3	84		35	7	0	42		-42	-50%	5	$\checkmark$	×
	234	221-234- 237	8	1	1	10		13	3	0	16		6	63%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		488-130-					11										
	130	129	30	4	1	34		0	0	0	0		-34	-100%	8	$\checkmark$	×
		488-130-					11										
	130	251	264	32	18	314		97	6	0	107		-207	-66%	14	×	×
		488-130-					1 [										
	130	131	33	3	1	37		12	1	8	24		-13	-36%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		131-130-					] [										
	130	488	26	3	1	30		0	2	0	5		-25	-84%	6	$\checkmark$	×
		131-130-					[										
	130	129	231	49	23	302		24	13	23	59		-243	-80%	18	×	×
		131-130-															
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267	130	251	70	8	13	90		96	7	0	103		13	15%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Ash St / Cemetery Rd		251-130-		_						_					_		
	130	131	44	8	6	57	┥┝	13	1	0	14	_	-43	-75%	7	~	×
	130	488	348	31	20	399		132	8	0	144		-254	-64%	15	×	×
		251-130-					1 [										
	130	129	70	9	7	85		87	7	0	94		9	10%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		129-130-					] [										
	130	251	49	10	1	59		38	3	27	68		8	14%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		129-130-					[										
	130	131	277	56	24	357		83	17	15	115		-242	-68%	16	×	×
		129-130-															
	130	488	25	5	3	33		0	0	0	0		-33	-100%	8	$\checkmark$	×
		304-305-						. –									
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /	305	303	29	3	0	32	╡╏	15	1	0	16		-16	-50%	3	✓	✓
Claremont Rd	305	304-305- 306	30	6	0	36		59	10	11	80		45	127%	6	$\checkmark$	×

	305	304-305- 320	3	2	1	6	9	2	8	21		16	276%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	000	320-305-	0	-			Ŭ	-	Ŭ	21		10	21070	•		
	305	304	12	1	1	14	1	0	0	3		-11	-79%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	305	320-305- 303	251	49	22	321	85	14	25	125		-197	-61%	13	×	×
	000	320-305-	201	10		021				120			0170	10		
	305	306	48	8	1	57	8	1	0	9		-48	-84%	8	$\checkmark$	×
Claremont Rd	305	306-305- 320	57	6	5	67	2	0	0	2		-65	-97%	11	$\checkmark$	×
	305	306-305- 304	35	6	2	43	62	8	0	70		27	63%	4	$\checkmark$	~
	000	306-305-		Ű	_		-02		0				0070	•		
	305	303	65	17	3	84	6	7	0	20		-65	-77%	9	$\checkmark$	×
	305	303-305- 306	92	15	9	116	17	4	4	31		-85	-73%	10	$\checkmark$	×
		303-305-			-						ľ					
	305	320	215	42	17	274	93	16	15	124		-150	-55%	11	×	×
	305	303-305- 304	31	6	1	38	3	1	10	14		-24	-64%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		314-310-											0170			
	310	309	24	3	3	30	10	2	1	13		-18	-58%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	310	314-310- 1110	62	9	2	73	28	3	6	37		-36	-49%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		314-310-									Ī					
	310	311	26	3	1	30	0	0	0	0		-30	-100%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	310	311-310- 314	24	3	1	27	0	0	0	0		-27	-100%	7	$\checkmark$	×
		311-310-									Ī					
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane	310	309	255	36	24	315	88	11	31	138		-178	-56%	12	×	×
/ Arunder Rd	310	311-310- 1110	27	3	3	33	11	0	0	11		-23	-68%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		1110-310-									Ī					
	310	311	28	3	8	39	8	0	0	8		-30	-78%	6	$\checkmark$	×
	310	1110-310- 314	70	12	5	86	32	3	8	43		-43	-50%	5	$\checkmark$	×
	310	1110-310- 309	31	5	5	40	4	2	0	7		-33	-83%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	0.0	309-310-	<u> </u>	Ŭ				_	Ŭ				0070	•		
	310	1110	31	4	2	36	4	2	11	18		-19	-51%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane	310	309-310- 311	242	27	15	284	91	13	41	153	-131	-46%	9	×	×
/ Arundel Rd	310	309-310- 314	11	2	2	15	4	1	0	5	-10	-65%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
						PERCE	NTAGE	OF INC	DIVIDU#	AL LINKS	COMPLY	ING WITH	DMRB	65%	28%

Additional Counts - IP															
Location	Dir	Saturn Link		C	ount			Мо	delled		Diff	% Diff	GEH	DN	RB
Location		Saturn Link	Car	LV	ΗV	Total	Car	LV	HV	Total		70 Dill	GEH	Flow	GEH
Aughton Rd	EB	299-300	122	21	14	157	123	21	11	157	0	0%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Aughton Rd	WB	300-299	121	21	15	157	121	21	15	159	3	2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Cemetery Rd	NB	133-132	435	65	61	561	223	40	25	291	-271	-48%	13	×	×
Cemetery Rd	SB	132-133	405	61	41	507	271	40	29	343	-164	-32%	8	×	×
Coastal Rd	EB	8928-525	301	33	33	368	207	27	16	250	-118	-32%	7	×	×
Coastal Rd	WB	525-8928	315	35	22	372	224	35	19	278	-93	-25%	5	$\checkmark$	×
EastBank St	EB	106-529	314	31	58	403	131	31	0	174	-229	-57%	13	×	×
EastBank St	WB	529-106	365	36	58	459	155	36	0	203	-256	-56%	14	×	×
Liverpool Rd M	NB	350-1103	420	63	55	538	209	41	45	294	-244	-45%	12	×	×
Liverpool Rd M	SB	1103-350	411	61	62	534	156	28	44	228	-306	-57%	16	×	×
Lord St	NB	298-138	432	48	59	539	432	48	18	510	-29	-5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St	SB	138-298	400	44	48	493	401	44	16	471	-22	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
New Cut Ln	EB	1110-2100	118	15	16	148	43	6	17	65	-83	-56%	8	$\checkmark$	×
Waterloo Rd	NB	324-1074	313	31	48	392	313	31	18	364	-28	-7%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Waterloo Rd	SB	1074-324	275	30	75	381	275	29	21	327	-54	-14%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Meol's cop road	NB	1143-1141	550	72	101	722	589	58	86	735	13	2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Meol's cop road	SB	1141-1143	474	62	101	636	547	48	97	694	58	9%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	EB	8905-250	344	43	73	460	305	32	31	374	-86	-19%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	WB	250-8905	383	51	71	505	356	38	36	436	-69	-14%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

FYLDE ROAD	NB	425-228	191	21	42	254	108	21	42	173	-81	-32%	6	$\checkmark$	×
FYLDE ROAD	SB	228-425	176	20	41	237	171	22	53	249	12	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 PRESTON NEW ROAD	NB	413-227	395	54	114	563	183	27	70	286	-277	-49%	13	×	×
A565 PRESTON NEW ROAD	SB	227-413	358	49	121	528	53	5	0	65	-463	-88%	27	×	×
BANKFIELD LANE	NB	1059-230	94	13	37	143	94	13	0	113	-30	-21%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
BANKFIELD LANE	SB	230-1059	117	16	59	192	114	15	7	142	-50	-26%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	EB	1001-229	119	18	33	170	287	46	112	448	278	164%	16	×	×
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	WB	229-1001	139	23	60	221	139	23	53	218	-3	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	NB	8917-229	34	10	7	51	77	10	0	87	36	69%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	SB	229-8917	24	5	7	35	195	26	60	281	246	694%	20	×	×
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	NB	215-214	69	9	8	86	41	7	0	52	-34	-39%	4	~	~
A565 Preston New Rd /		210 214	00	5	0	00		'	0	52	54	0070			
Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	SB	214-215	68	10	16	94	67	10	0	83	-11	-12%	1	$\checkmark$	~
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	EB	1006-215	120	19	35	174	119	27	46	203	29	17%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	WB	215-1006	117	18	53	187	117	20	53	203	15	8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	NB	1067-215	114	13	24	152	141	14	24	178	26	17%	2	$\checkmark$	~
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	SB	215-1067	141	17	32	191	141	17	0	158	-33	-17%	2	~	~
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	EB	215-413	178	25	75	278	187	28	70	291	14	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

A565 Preston New Rd /															
Marshside Rd / Cambridge															
Rd / Manor Rd	WB	413-215	156	21	40	217	159	21	53	240	23	10%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood				_				_					_		
Rd / Flyde Rd	NB	213-212	55	7	9	71	56	7	0	67	-4	-6%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood	00	010 010	<u> </u>	0	_	75	<b>C1</b>		0	70		00/	0	/	
Rd / Flyde Rd Marabaida Rd / Electwood	28	212-213	62	8	5	75	61	8	0	73	-2	-2%	0	v	v
Rd / Flyde Rd	EB	212-425	90	10	6	105	74	10	6	95	-11	-10%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood															
Rd / Flyde Rd	WB	425-212	57	6	3	66	57	6	6	73	7	10%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Drive / Fairway	EB	462-145	113	9	13	135	113	9	13	135	0	0%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Drive / Fairway	WB	145-462	100	12	18	129	101	13	13	127	-2	-2%	0	~	~
Marine Drive / Fairway	NB	464-145	38	5	5	48	74	7	0	81	33	69%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Drive / Fairway	SB	145-464	38	4	6	48	87	4	0	91	43	90%	5	$\checkmark$	×
Marine Drive / Fairway	EB	145-209	100	12	20	132	180	16	13	209	77	59%	6	$\checkmark$	×
Marine Drive / Fairway	WB	209-145	114	11	13	138	182	17	13	211	74	54%	6	$\checkmark$	×
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	411-124	124	15	32	171	124	15	35	190	19	11%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	124-411	123	14	39	176	121	15	39	191	15	9%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	NB	125-124	74	10	9	93	64	7	0	81	-13	-14%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	SB	124-125	100	9	17	126	46	9	0	65	-62	-49%	6	$\checkmark$	×
B5245 Manchester Rd /															
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe				10	_									,	
Lane	EB	126-150	75	12	5	91	75	12	0	93	1	2%	0	~	~
B5245 Manchester Rd /															
Lane	WB	150-126	83	13	18	114	70	13	0	89	-24	-21%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd /		100 120							, v			2170	<u> </u>		
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe															
Lane	NB	484-150	71	13	18	103	99	13	0	112	10	10%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd /															
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe	0.5	150 101			10	4.4.0						000/		,	
	SB	150-484	90	11	16	118	66	12	14	92	-26	-22%	3	~	✓
Norwood Ave	EB	235-223	130	15	21	165	177	29	0	212	46	28%	3	$\checkmark$	✓

A5267 Roe Lane / B5276											Γ					
Norwood Ave	WB	223-235	142	29	33	205	144	25	0	175		-30	-14%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276																
Norwood Ave	NB	437-223	93	11	31	135	94	11	0	105		-30	-22%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276	СD	222 427	117	10	22	160	110	12	0	121		20	100/	2		
AF267 Boo Long / PF276	30	223-437	117	12	32	100	119	12	0	131	ŀ	-29	-10%	2	v	v
Norwood Ave	EB	223-204	165	31	37	233	166	26	0	198		-35	-15%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276																
Norwood Ave	WB	204-223	129	17	23	168	158	24	0	187		19	12%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd																
/ Bispham Rd	NB	234-237	134	14	35	182	115	13	0	128		-53	-29%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	SB	237-234	113	13	33	159	115	13	0	128		-31	-20%	З	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	00	201 204	110	10	00	100	110	10	<u> </u>	120	F	01	2070	0		
/ Bispham Rd	NB	233-234	108	13	35	155	111	13	9	138		-17	-11%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd																
/ Bispham Rd	SB	234-233	126	12	32	170	129	12	14	160		-9	-6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd					_				_			_			,	
/ Bispham Rd	EB	234-221	48	8	9	65	47	9	9	70	F	5	8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Lithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	WB	221-234	42	7	14	63	73	13	14	106		43	68%	5	$\checkmark$	1
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267		221201	12			00	10	10		100	F	10	0070	•	-	
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	NB	130-488	133	13	24	170	132	10	0	149		-21	-12%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267																
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	SB	488-130	109	13	21	142	109	7	8	131		-11	-8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267 Ash St / Comptony Rd	ER	131-130	118	22	21	171	110	22	23	167		_1	-7%	0		
AST St / Centerery Rd		131-130	110	22	51	171	119	22	23	107	ŀ	-4	-2 /0	0	v	•
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	WB	130-131	109	20	37	165	108	19	22	153		-13	-8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267											Γ					
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	NB	251-130	127	16	32	176	233	16	0	253		77	44%	5	$\checkmark$	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267		(	<i>.</i>										<b>a-a</b> (	_	,	
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	SB	130-251	154	16	32	202	231	16	27	277	ŀ	76	37%	5	√	✓
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267 Ash St / Cemetery Rd	EB	130-129	110	20	31	161	111	20	23	154		-8	-5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267											F	-	- / -	-		
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	WB	129-130	117	24	29	169	121	20	42	182		14	8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

A570 Scarisbrick New Rd / Main Retail Park Rbout /																
A570 / B5276	NB	260-1143	208	20	52	280	5	89	58	86	735	45	162%	20	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																
Main Retail Park Rbout /	0.0	4440.000	100	05	70	000	_	47	40	07	00.4	10	4040			
A5707B5276	SB	1143-260	168	25	72	266	5	47	48	97	694	428	161%	20	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																
A570 / B5276	NB	260-1142	153	13	31	196	2	22	23	31	283	87	44%	6	~	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /		200 1142	100	10	51	150		~~	20	51	200	07	7770			
Main Retail Park Rbout /																
A570 / B5276	SB	1142-260	132	15	36	182	2	71	27	36	340	15	86%	10	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																
Main Retail Park Rbout /																
A570 / B5276	EB	416-260	145	13	28	186	1	45	14	31	200	14	7%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																
Main Retail Park Rbout /		000 440	1.40	0	22	407			4.4	22	000	10	70/		/	
A5707 B5276	WB	260-416	146	8	33	187	1	44	14	33	200	13	1%	1	~	✓
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																
	NB	403-260	114	10	5	129		57	10	0	67	-61	-48%	6	$\checkmark$	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /		403 200		10	5	125		,,	10	0	07	0	-070			
Main Retail Park Rbout /																
A570 / B5276	SB	260-403	147	12	7	166	1	47	9	0	156	-10	-6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																
Main Retail Park Rbout /																
A570 / B5276	NB	1132-260	185	28	86	299	6	54	60	86	802	503	169%	21	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																
Main Retail Park Rbout /	0.0	000 4400	474	0.1	50	054	_	40	50	400	700	45	4700	04		
A570 / B5276	SB	260-1132	1/1	24	56	251	5	48	52	100	703	45	179%	21	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /																
	FB	260-1133	98	7	20	124		20	6	0	45	-70	-63%	q	$\checkmark$	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /		200 1100		,	20	147		,5	0	0			0.5 /0		Ť	
Main Retail Park Rbout /																
A570 / B5276	WB	1133-260	140	7	16	162		6	3	0	19	-14	-88%	15	×	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /																
Claremont Rd	NB	305-304	26	4	5	34	6	6	9	10	87	52	151%	7	$\checkmark$	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /								Ι								
Claremont Rd	SB	304-305	20	4	1	25	8	33	13	19	118	93	370%	11	$\checkmark$	×

A5267 Eastbourne Rd /												Γ					
Claremont Rd	EB	320-305	92	16	23	131	94	1:	5	25	137	L	6	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /		005 000	101	10	0.4	4 47	10		~	00	4 47		0	00/	0	/	
Claremont Rd	WB	305-320	104	19	24	147	10	5 1	J	23	147	ŀ	0	0%	0	✓	✓
A5267 Eastbourne Rd7 Claremont Rd	NB	306-305	57	10	10	77	70	1	5	0	91		15	19%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /	ne -	000 000	07	10	10				5	0	01	-	10	1070			
Claremont Rd	SB	305-306	52	9	10	72	84	1	5	15	121		49	68%	5	$\checkmark$	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /												Ē					
Claremont Rd	EB	305-303	115	23	25	163	10	5 2	2	25	160	L	-3	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /																,	
Claremont Rd	WB	303-305	113	21	28	161	11:	3 2	1	29	169	L	8	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane	NB	310-314	35	5	Q	18	36			Q	18		0	0%	0	1	1
Guildford Rd / Now Cut Lang	ND	510-514	- 55	5	0	40	30	-		0	40	ŀ	0	070	0	•	•
/ Arundel Rd	SB	314-310	37	5	7	49	38	5	5	7	50		1	2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane												Ē					
/ Arundel Rd	EB	310-309	103	15	32	150	10	2 1	5	32	157		7	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane																	
/ Arundel Rd	WB	309-310	95	11	20	125	99	1	6	52	176	L	51	41%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267		040.040	404	04	45	100	0.00			45	004		405	500/	-		
	NB	312-313	134	21	45	199	20	) 4	1	45	304	-	105	53%	1	×	×
A565 Waterioo Rd / A5267	SB	1109-312	136	18	38	102	15	2	R	ΔΔ	238		46	24%	З	1	1
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	00	1100 012	100	10	00	102	10	, 2	<u> </u>		200	-	40	2470	0		,
/ Bispham Rd	WB	221-234	42	7	14	63	73	1	3	14	106		43	68%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd																	
/ Bispham Rd	EB	234-221	48	8	9	65	47	g	)	9	70	L	5	8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	NB	221-222	0	0	0	0	32	8	5	0	40		40		9	$\checkmark$	×
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	SB	222-221	0	0	0	0	48	1	1	0	59		59		11	$\checkmark$	×
Manchester Rd/Hoghton												Γ					
Grove/Queens Rd	EB	410-125	66	14	12	91	57	6	;	12	77	L	-14	-15%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton																	
Grove/Queens Rd	EB	125-410	59	7	3	69	44	6	;	5	57	╞	-12	-18%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton		E01 405		7	F	FF	4			0	57		2	407	0		
Grove/Queens Kd	FR	531-125	44	1	5	55	45	6	)	U	57	┝	2	4%	U	V	~
Grove/Queens Rd	EB	125-531	47	7	6	59	47	ç		0	62		3	4%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		======			v					•	<b>v</b> -	L	~	.,.	•		

A565 Southport New Road, Eastbound at LC74, Banks	EB	1003-8922	481	78	87	646	47	57	110	639		-6	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Southport New Road, Westbound at LC79, Banks	WB	8922-1003	531	86	94	712	43	54	114	607		-105	-15%	4	~	$\checkmark$
L7135 A570 Southport Road, at LC120, Scarisbrick	WB	2103-2102	480	47	61	588	47	, 16	34	528		-60	-10%	3	~	$\checkmark$
L7135 A570 Southport Road, at LC120, Scarisbrick	EB	2102-2103	393	39	87	518	26	10	47	327		-192	-37%	9	×	×
B5243 Moss Road, West of Birkdale Cop, Halsall	EB	266-265	69	12	7	88	70	12	9	91		4	4%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5243 Moss Road, West of Birkdale Cop, Halsall	WB	265-266	82	14	8	104	76	14	8	98		-6	-6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
						PERC	ENTAG	E OF IN	DIVIDU		s c	OMPLY	ING WITH	DMRB	83%	70%

Calibration - PM															
					4									DW	
Location	Dir	Saturn Link		C	ount			MO	delled		Diff	% Diff	GEH	DIV	RB
Location		outurn Eink	Car	LV	HV	Total	Car	LV	HV	Total		/• Biii	<b>U</b> EIII	Flow	GEH
A565 / Marine Drive / The															
Plough	SB	1002-229	354	36	7	397	355	35	2	394	-3	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The															
Plough	NB	229-1002	308	25	7	340	307	33	5	345	5	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The															
Plough	SB	1064-229	153	29	5	187	174	29	5	208	22	12%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The															
Plough	NB	229-1064	279	39	5	323	274	38	5	328	6	2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Water lane	EB	1003-229	742	99	144	985	741	84	39	864	-122	-12%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Water lane	WB	229-1003	922	82	87	1091	902	86	67	1097	7	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
L7134 A570 Southport Road,															
at LC48, Scarisbrick	WB	1139-1130	489	37	41	567	530	37	41	610	43	8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
L7134 A570 Southport Road,															
at LC48, Scarisbrick	EB	1130-1139	757	57	57	871	733	53	55	865	-6	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5243 Jacksmere Lane, W															
of Blackmoss Lane at LC13,															
Scarisbrick	WB	1139-1122	133	20	15	169	106	20	10	136	-32	-19%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

B5243 Jacksmere Lane, W																
of Blackmoss Lane at LC13, Scarisbrick	EB	1122-1139	77	12	10	99	73	9	10	93		6	-6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane																
/ Arundel Rd	NB	1110-310	224	19	2	245	190	19	11	222	-:	23	-9%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
/ Arundel Rd	SB	310-1110	307	43	7	357	246	23	8	288	-(	69	-19%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane					-				-					-		
/ Arundel Rd	EB	311-310	555	35	5	595	389	35	7	434	-1	60	-27%	7	×	×
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane		040.044	000	10			400			100		~	4.4.07	0	/	
/ Arundel Rd	WB	310-311	382	48	14	444	403	44	20	493		. <u>9</u>	11%	2	✓	~
Liverpool Rd	NB	1105-314	251	31	7	289	210	31	19	268	-:	21	-7%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267																
Liverpool Rd	SB	314-1105	252	23	5	280	185	14	5	222	-:	57	-20%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267	NR	1108-327	340	14	5	368	360	17	23	402		1	۵%	2	1	1
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267	ND	1100-327	549	14	5	300	300	17	23	402		4	970	2	•	•
Liverpool Rd	SB	327-1108	362	27	14	403	325	26	23	380	-:	22	-6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Costal road	SB	525-295	421	43	37	500	419	43	27	492		8	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Costal road	NB	295-525	567	31	44	643	561	28	16	615	-;	28	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Dr	SB	210-209	508	44	31	583	506	33	9	565		8	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Dr	NB	209-210	525	46	27	598	526	39	9	576	-:	22	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood																
Rd / Flyde Rd	SB	210-211	197	7	7	211	170	4	7	181	-;	30	-14%	2	$\checkmark$	√
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood Rd / Flvde Rd	NB	211-210	208	13	5	226	199	2	4	220		5	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	124-476	228	17	2	247	257	14	1	276	2	8	11%	2	$\checkmark$	~
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	476-124	217	21	2	240	232	22	0	255	1	5	6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	124-480	471	33	12	516	441	39	14	509		7	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	480-124	330	25	9	364	319	28	13	374		9	3%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton																
Grove/Queens Rd	EB	125-482	295	24	7	326	286	29	7	330		4	1%	0	$\checkmark$	✓
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	WB	482-125	255	10	5	270	254	10	5	278		3	3%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

B5245 Manchester Rd / A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe																	
Lane	EB	150-149	682	51	14	747		518	26	0	560		-187	-25%	7	×	×
B5245 Manchester Rd /							1 [										
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe		4.40,450	075	20	7	404		440	00	4	400		40	440/	0	/	
Lane	VVB	149-150	3/5	39	1	421	┤┝	419	30	4	469		48	11%	2	v	v
/ Bispham Rd	EB	236-234	190	27	2	219		314	28	0	357		138	63%	8	×	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd																	
/ Bispham Rd	WB	234-236	310	31	7	348		262	24	0	289		-59	-17%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Liverpool Road	NB	316-319	433	65	59	557		429	63	28	532		-25	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Liverpool Road	SB	319-316	398	43	79	520		371	40	18	476		-44	-8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Kew road	NB	307-306	220	30	37	287		222	30	17	281		-6	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Kew road	SB	306-307	184	32	46	263		231	31	15	300		38	14%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
SOUTHBANK ROAD	NB	264-502	264	20	47	331		209	18	0	294		-37	-11%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
SOUTHBANK ROAD	SB	502-264	288	22	24	333		318	34	8	365		32	10%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	SB	8904-250	425	32	41	498		415	29	0	452		-46	-9%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	NB	250-8904	513	39	42	593		437	32	13	551		-42	-7%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B2576 MEOLS COP ROAD	NB	1141-1145	841	63	84	989		864	61	36	967		-22	-2%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B2576 MEOLS COP ROAD	SB	1145-1141	627	47	78	753		628	63	69	786		33	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
						PERCI	ENT	AGE	OF INC		LINKS	C	OMPLY	ING WITH	DMRB	93%	93%

Validation - PM																	
Location	Dir	Saturn Link		Count				Modelled				Diff	0/ D:ff	OF H	DMRB		
			Car	LV	ΗV	Total	Car	LV	HV	Total		DIII	% DI∏	GEH	Flow	GEH	
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood															,	<i>,</i>	
Rd / Flyde Rd	EB	415-211	155	12	0	167	159	16	0	176		9	6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood				10			100						1001		1		
Rd / Flyde Rd	WB	211-415	220	19	0	239	180	17	3	216		-23	-10%	2	~	✓	
Cambridge Rd	EB	201-202	609	53	44	706	593	48	23	681		-25	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Cambridge Rd	WB	202-201	450	39	42	531	450	39	14	518		-13	-2%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Roe Ln	EB	204-513	386	38	29	453		377	38	0	441		-12	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
--------------------------	----	----------	-----	----	----	------	----	------	--------	---	----------	---	-------	----------	------	--------------	--------------
Roe Ln	WB	513-204	270	27	21	317		272	27	0	307		-11	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	EB	221-220	378	48	5	431		372	29	9	426		-5	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	WB	220-221	337	34	2	373		335	34	7	384		10	3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	WB	1149-221	110	6	0	116		108	6	0	115		-1	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	EB	221-1149	105	14	0	119		24	12	0	36		-83	-70%	9	$\checkmark$	×
						PERC	EN	TAGE	OF IND		AL LINKS	С	OMPLY	ING WITH	DMRB	100%	90%

Summary Turns - PM																
Lander	Saturn	Saturn		Co	ount				Мо	delled		D://	0/ D:00		DM	RB
Location	Node	Turn	Car	LV	ΗV	Total		Car	LV	HV	Total	DITT	% DIff	GEH	Flow	GEH
		214-215-														
	215	413	22	7	0	29		1	0	0	1	-28	-98%	7	$\checkmark$	×
		214-215-														
	215	1067	144	11	0	155		229	22	0	260	105	68%	7	×	×
	215	214-215-	72	F	2	80		4	0	0	10	70	070/	10		<u>ب</u>
	215	1006 215	13	Э	2	60	-	4	0	0	10	-70	-01%	10	v	~
	215	214	57	2	2	61		0	0	0	4	-57	-93%	10	$\checkmark$	×
		1006-215-														
AFCE Dreater New Del /	215	413	457	35	12	504		496	32	23	561	58	11%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Preston New Rd / Marabaida Rd / Cambridge		1006-215-													-	
Rd / Manor Rd	215	1067	18	2	0	20		58	7	0	66	46	230%	7	$\checkmark$	×
Ru / Manor Ru		1067-215-													,	
	215	1006	20	1	0	21		57	2	0	60	39	185%	6	$\checkmark$	×
	215	1067-215- 214	222	27	0	249		85	6	0	92	-157	-63%	12	×	×
	210	1067-215-		21	0	245	-	00	0	0	52	107	0070	12		••
	215	413	377	34	16	427		221	56	2	284	-144	-34%	8	×	×
		413-215-														
	215	1067	248	14	2	264		118	8	0	126	-138	-52%	10	×	×
		413-215-		~-		001										
	215	1006	300	25	9	334		472	39	14	534	200	60%	10	×	×

	215	413-215- 214	40	3	0	43		0	0	0	0	Γ	-43	-100%	q	~	×
	210	462-145-	10	0	Ŭ	10		- U	0	0	Ű	-	10	10070	0		
	145	209	401	18	9	428		396	27	9	433		5	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	4.45	462-145-		4	~	00		07	0	0	07		05	070/	2		
	145	404	88	4	0	92		67	0	0	67	-	-25	-21%	3	v	v
	145	462	70	2	0	72		56	0	0	56		-16	-22%	2	~	~
Marine Drive / Fairway		464-145-					1										
	145	209	87	6	0	93		118	11	0	130		37	40%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	145	209-145- 464	80	7	0	87		89	11	0	103		16	19%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		209-145-															
	145	462	430	25	7	462		431	22	9	476		14	3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	124	476-124- 480	34	7	2	43		86	12	0	98		55	127%	7	$\checkmark$	×
		476-124-			_					•		F		,.			
	124	125	130	8	0	138		111	4	0	116		-22	-16%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	101	476-124-							•	•			4 -	000/			
	124	411	52	6	0	58		34	6	0	41	-	-17	-30%	2	~	~
	124	476	47	6	0	53		48	1	0	49		-4	-7%	0	$\checkmark$	~
	124	411-124-	227	22	0	269		214	27	11	269		0	0%	0		
	124	400	337	22	9	300		314	21	14	300	-	0	0 /0	0	v	•
Land St. (Albart Dd. / DE245	124	125	130	12	5	147		169	6	2	190		44	30%	3	~	~
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245		125-124-															
	124	411	135	11	5	151		151	11	2	176	_	26	17%	2	✓	✓
	124	125-124- 476	152	8	0	160		178	12	0	192		32	20%	2	~	~
	-	125-124-					1										
	124	480	100	4	0	104		42	0	0	42		-62	-59%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	124	480-124-	88	6	0	94		6	0	0	6		-88	-94%	12	$\checkmark$	×
		480-124-		0	Ŭ	01		0	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ű		00	0170	12	-	
	124	411	214	16	7	237		282	27	12	334		97	41%	6	✓	×
	124	480-124- 476	28	3	2	33		31	1	1	34		1	2%	0	~	~
	<u> </u>	126-150-										F	-				
	150	149	375	31	9	415		422	14	0	446	L	30	7%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

	150	126-150- 484	39	2	0	41		45	5	0	51	10	24%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		484-150-											2170			
	150	126	16	1	0	17		146	12	0	166	149	878%	16	×	×
	150	484-150- 149	298	20	5	323		96	12	0	114	-208	-65%	14	×	×
		149-150-							. =							
B5245 Manchester Rd /	150	484	124	19	2	145	1	178	15	4	199	54	37%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe	150	149-150- 126	232	17	5	254	2	241	21	0	270	16	7%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Land		235-223-	202		Ŭ	201				Ű	2.0		. /0			
	223	204	450	33	7	490	2	204	11	0	241	-249	-51%	13	×	×
	222	235-223-	130	14	7	151		247	7	0	278	107	84%	٥	<u>,</u>	<u>,</u>
	223	437	130	14	1	131		241	1	0	210	121	04 /0	3	~	~
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276	223	235	210	5	2	217	2	267	17	0	289	71	33%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave		437-223-														
	223	204	339	19	5	363		29	11	0	41	-322	-89%	23	×	×
		204-223-	100		•	100			4.0	•	005	45	050/			
	223	437	160	11	9	180		206	18	0	225	45	25%	3	~	~
	223	204-223-	300	33	9	342	2	252	25	0	285	-58	-17%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		237-234-														
	234	221	8	0	5	13		9	2	0	12	-1	-4%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	004	237-234-	055	04	40	201		050	20	0	200	10	20/	4		
	234	233	200	24	12	291		292	20	0	200	-10	-3%	1	v	v
	234	236	70	11	0	81		64	15	0	81	0	1%	0	$\checkmark$	~
		236-234-														
	234	237	162	13	5	180		86	18	0	109	-70	-39%	6	~	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	234	236-234- 221	138	15	2	155	1	130	10	0	146	-9	-6%	1	~	~
		236-234-														
	234	233	10	3	0	13	9	97	0	0	101	88	679%	12	$\checkmark$	×
	224	233-234-	15	0	0	15		20 T	0	0	24	6	200/	4		
	234	230	15	U	U	CI		20	U	0	21	0	39%	1	v	v
	234	235-234-	479	27	7	513	2	231	22	0	257	-256	-50%	13	×	×
	224	233-234-	00	4	0	00		01	10	0	111	40	100/	0	1	
	234	221	90	4	2	96		<u>Q</u> 1	16	9	114	18	19%	2	✓	✓

	234	221-234- 233	61	9	2	72	122	14	7	149	77	106%	7	$\checkmark$	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	201	221-234-	01	0		12	122		,	110		10070			
/ Bispham Rd	234	236	105	16	2	123	177	9	0	187	63	51%	5	$\checkmark$	×
	234	221-234- 237	11	0	2	13	23	2	0	26	13	95%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		488-130-													
	130	129	41	1	2	44	10	0	0	10	-35	-78%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	130	488-130- 251	313	28	7	348	289	1	0	295	-53	-15%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		488-130-											_		
	130	131	54	2	2	58	30	0	3	36	-22	-38%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	130	131-130- 488	18	1	2	21	0	0	0	4	-18	-84%	5	$\checkmark$	×
		131-130-													
	130	129	307	41	7	355	163	36	16	221	-134	-38%	8	×	×
AEZO Secrichrick Dd / AE2CZ	120	131-130-	46	7	0	52	07	17	0	107	54	1029/	e		~
AS70 Scalisbrick R0 / AS267 Ash St / Cemetery Rd	130	251-130-	40	1	0	- 55	07	17	0	107	- 34	102%	0	v	~
	130	131	60	2	9	71	5	4	0	10	-62	-86%	10	$\checkmark$	×
	120	251-130-	200	14	Б	210	200	20	7	266	19	159/	2		
	130	251-130-	300	14	5	319	300	20	1	300	40	1576	3	v	v
	130	129	106	6	0	112	62	11	0	95	-17	-15%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	400	129-130-	74	0	0	77	00		0	00	_	<u>co</u> /		/	/
	130	251	71	6	0	11	80	1	0	82	5	6%	1	✓	✓
	130	131	379	46	5	430	322	42	5	373	-57	-13%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	100	129-130-		0	0	22		4	0	70	50	0.400/		/	
	130	400		0	0	23	- / /	- 1	0	79	00	242%	0	v	*
	305	303	31	2	0	33	5	0	0	5	-28	-84%	6	$\checkmark$	×
		304-305-													
	305	306	27	3	2	32	125	12	2	141	109	337%	12	×	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd / Claremont Rd	305	304-305- 320	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		320-305-													
	305	304	7	0	0	7	3	0	0	5	-2	-29%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	305	320-305- 303	277	36	9	322	185	30	16	233	-90	-28%	5	$\checkmark$	×

	305	320-305- 306	60	4	0	64	3	35	0	0	36	-2	3	-45%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	205	306-305-	70	5	0	02		1	0	0	61			270/	ว		
	305	320	10	Э	0	ంు	0		0	0	01	-2	2	-21%	3	v	v
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /	305	306-305- 304	44	5	2	51	1(	08	10	8	128	77	,	150%	8	$\checkmark$	×
Claremont Rd		306-305-															
	305	303	76	13	0	89	5	50	10	0	67	-2	2	-24%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	~~-	303-305-			_				10		100			0.001		1	
	305	306	124	20	7	151	6	56	10	4	102	-4	3	-32%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	305	303-305- 320	261	30	7	298	2	36	26	8	324	26	5	9%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		303-305-															
	305	304	41	3	0	44	1	8	2	0	12	-3	2	-73%	6	$\checkmark$	×
		314-310-												1001		1	
	310	309	25	2	2	29	1	6	6	1	24	-5		-18%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	040	314-310-	110	40	0	405		~	47		101			00/	0	/	
	310	1110	112	13	0	125	10	01	17	1	121	-2		-3%	0	V	V
	310	314-310-	11	7	0	18	2	24	0	0	25	-2	2	-18%	1	1	1
	510	311-310-		,	0				0	0	20		,	+070			
	310	314	41	6	0	47	(	0	0	0	0	-4	7	-100%	10	$\checkmark$	×
		311-310-															
	310	309	290	40	12	342	38	89	35	7	440	99	)	29%	5	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		311-310-								-							
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane	310	1110	51	2	2	55	(	0	0	0	0	-5	5	-100%	11	$\checkmark$	×
	310	311	69	9	2	80		9	0	0	10	-7	1	-88%	11	$\checkmark$	×
		1110-310-															
	310	314	188	23	2	213	1:	50	7	2	160	-5	3	-25%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	310	1110-310- 309	50	11	2	63	3	31	12	9	53	-1	1	-17%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		309-310-													-		
	310	1110	61	4	0	65	14	45	7	7	167	10	2	157%	9	×	×
		309-310-															
	310	311	445	19	2	466	3	71	44	20	465	-1		0%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	310	309-310- 314	26	1	2	29	6	65	2	1	73	43	3	148%	6	$\checkmark$	×
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	221	1149-221- 234	30	5	0	35	(	0	0	0	0	-3	5	-100%	8	$\checkmark$	×

	221	1149-221-	51	0	0	51	] [	23	1	0	25	Γ	-26	-51%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		1149-221-	01			01	11	20		Ű		F	20	0170			
	221	220	29	1	0	30		84	4	0	90		60	199%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	221	234-221-	26	2	0	28		13	6	0	20		-8	-28%	2	~	$\checkmark$
		234-221-	20	-	-	20	1 1	10	•	Ū	20	F	0	2070			
	221	220	275	31	2	308		207	23	9	253		-56	-18%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	221	234-221-	10	7	0	55		0	0	0	0		55	100%	10		~
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd	221	222-221-	40	1	0	- 55	4 1	0	0	0	0	┢	-00	-100 /6	10	v	~
	221	220	33	2	0	35		81	2	0	84		49	139%	6	$\checkmark$	×
	221	222-221- 1149	19	3	0	22		24	3	0	27		5	24%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		222-221-					11		-	-		F					
	221	234	23	4	0	27		22	2	0	24		-3	-13%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		220-221-				10		•							_	,	
	221	1149	38	4	0	42	┥╽	0	8	0	8	╞	-34	-80%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	221	220-221-	299	39	2	340		301	23	7	338		-2	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		220-221-		_	_		11		_	_					_		
	221	222	41	5	2	48	╡╞	35	2	0	37		-11	-23%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	125	124-125- 482	32	2	0	34		11	0	0	15		-19	-56%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		124-125-					11										
	125	531	224	24	2	250	╎╎	263	10	2	285		34	14%	2	$\checkmark$	✓
	125	124-125- 410	56	4	2	62		13	0	0	13		-49	-79%	8	$\checkmark$	×
	405	482-125-	45	<u> </u>		4.5	1 [			_			10	000/			
	125	531	15	0	0	15	╡┝	2	1	0	3	╞	-12	-80%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton	125	482-125-	196	4	5	205		251	5	3	265		60	30%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Grove/Queens Rd		482-125-					11										
	125	124	44	6	0	50		0	4	2	10		-40	-81%	7	$\checkmark$	×
	125	531-125- 410	16	0	0	16		5	0	0	5		-11	-71%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
		531-125-					11	-	-	_		ľ					
	125	124	194	21	0	215		250	17	0	278	L	63	29%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	125	531-125- 482	10	0	0	10		1	1	0	2		-8	-85%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

	125	410-125- 124	99	17	0	116		121	2	0	123		7	6%	1	$\checkmark$	~
Manchester Rd/Hoghton Grove/Queens Rd	125	410-125- 482	253	22	7	282		274	29	7	314		32	11%	2	~	~
	125	410-125- 531	33	7	0	40		5	1	0	7		-33	-83%	7	$\checkmark$	×
						PERC	EN	TAGE	of ind	DIVIDU#	AL LINKS	С	OMPLY	ING WITH	DMRB	83%	54%

## Additional Counts - PM

				C	ount			Мо	delled						DM	RB
Location	Dir	Saturn Link	Car	LV	HV	Total	Car	LV	HV	Total		Diff	% Diff	GEH	Flow	GEH
Aughton Rd	EB	299-300	172	26	9	207	207	28	9	248		40	19%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Aughton Rd	WB	300-299	141	21	11	174	138	22	11	177		3	2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Cemetery Rd	NB	133-132	492	55	48	594	275	54	16	360	_	-234	-39%	11	×	×
Cemetery Rd	SB	132-133	508	56	29	594	430	52	12	600		6	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Coastal Rd	EB	8928-525	428	37	67	533	343	25	27	395	_	-137	-26%	6	×	×
Coastal Rd	WB	525-8928	476	41	16	534	196	5	16	220	_	-314	-59%	16	×	×
EastBank St	EB	106-529	340	26	38	404	207	9	0	230	-	-174	-43%	10	×	×
EastBank St	WB	529-106	340	26	38	404	328	17	8	381		-23	-6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Liverpool Rd M	NB	350-1103	552	48	42	642	565	48	42	655		13	2%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Liverpool Rd M	SB	1103-350	563	49	28	639	510	40	27	592		-47	-7%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St	NB	298-138	501	44	46	590	488	41	32	580		-10	-2%	0	~	$\checkmark$
Lord St	SB	138-298	570	50	35	655	546	51	30	644		-11	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
New Cut Ln	EB	1110-2100	174	19	13	206	246	23	8	288		82	40%	5	$\checkmark$	×
Waterloo Rd	NB	324-1074	341	24	35	401	338	22	23	388		-12	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Waterloo Rd	SB	1074-324	417	27	66	511	357	35	22	420		-91	-18%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Meol's cop road	NB	1143-1141	744	65	80	890	864	61	36	967		78	9%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Meol's cop road	SB	1141-1143	580	39	80	699	624	62	69	781		82	12%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Scarisbrick New Rd	EB	8905-250	287	17	33	337	272	17	15	311		-26	-8%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Scarisbrick New Rd	WB	250-8905	315	28	44	386	408	24	0	452	66	17%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
FYLDE ROAD	NB	425-228	345	26	43	414	239	18	40	348	-66	-16%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
FYLDE ROAD	SB	228-425	230	17	26	273	168	17	26	213	-61	-22%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 PRESTON NEW ROAD	NB	413-227	601	59	92	753	625	36	2	677	-76	-10%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 PRESTON NEW ROAD	SB	227-413	452	45	80	577	462	46	14	532	-45	-8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
BANKFIELD LANE	NB	1059-230	197	20	39	255	250	8	0	267	12	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
BANKFIELD LANE	SB	230-1059	192	19	60	270	185	18	0	210	-60	-22%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	EB	1001-229	586	59	14	659	587	45	46	730	71	11%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	WB	229-1001	688	64	30	782	520	65	40	628	-153	-20%	6	×	×
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	NB	8917-229	242	54	7	303	286	47	23	359	56	19%	3	~	~
A565 / Marine Drive / The Plough	SB	229-8917	153	13	7	173	139	16	0	156	-17	-10%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	NB	215-214	319	32	2	353	85	6	0	96	-258	-73%	17	×	×
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	SB	214-215	239	23	2	264	234	22	0	271	7	3%	0	~	~
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	EB	1006-215	393	31	12	436	554	39	23	631	196	45%	8	×	×
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	WB	215-1006	532	39	14	585	533	40	14	604	20	3%	1	~	~
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	NB	1067-215	410	27	2	439	363	64	2	434	-5	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	SB	215-1067	619	62	16	697	405	37	0	452	-245	-35%	10	×	×
A565 Preston New Rd / Marshside Rd / Cambridge Rd / Manor Rd	EB	215-413	856	76	28	960	716	88	26	845	-115	-12%	4	~	✓

A565 Preston New Rd /											Γ					
Marshside Rd / Cambridge				10		0.40		10				10	<b>2</b> 21		,	,
Rd / Manor Rd	WB	413-215	588	42	12	642	590	46	14	660	-	19	3%	1	$\checkmark$	✓
Rd / Flyde Rd	NB	213-212	266	19	2	287	267	19	0	291		3	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood											F		. /0			
Rd / Flyde Rd	SB	212-213	291	22	0	313	283	20	0	331		18	6%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood																
Rd / Flyde Rd	EB	212-425	319	18	7	344	223	18	7	253	ŀ	-91	-26%	5	$\checkmark$	×
Marshside Rd / Fleetwood Rd / Flyde Rd	WB	425-212	240	14	7	261	291	19	6	375		114	44%	6	×	×
		420 212	500	07	7	504	400	07	0	500	ŀ	04	/0	4	1	
Marine Drive / Fairway	EB	462-145	500	21	1	534	463	27	9	500	ŀ	-34	-0%	1	•	V
Marine Drive / Fairway	WB	145-462	489	22	9	520	487	22	9	532	╞	12	2%	1	$\checkmark$	✓
Marine Drive / Fairway	NB	464-145	169	11	0	180	173	12	0	186		6	3%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Drive / Fairway	SB	145-464	158	8	0	166	156	11	0	170		4	3%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Drive / Fairway	EB	145-209	489	25	9	523	513	39	9	563		40	8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Marine Drive / Fairway	WB	209-145	511	33	7	551	521	33	9	579		28	5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	EB	411-124	411	35	12	458	531	34	16	608		150	33%	7	×	×
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	WB	124-411	524	42	14	580	468	44	14	551		-29	-5%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	NB	125-124	349	26	5	380	371	23	2	411		31	8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Lord St / Albert Rd / B5245	SB	124-125	388	23	5	416	286	10	2	312		-103	-25%	5	×	×
B5245 Manchester Rd /											Γ					
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe					_											
	EB	126-150	260	21	5	286	467	19	0	496	ŀ	211	74%	11	×	×
B5245 Manchester Rd /																
Lane	WB	150-126	428	36	9	473	388	33	0	436		-37	-8%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd /											F		0,0			
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe																
Lane	NB	484-150	256	29	2	287	243	24	0	281		-7	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5245 Manchester Rd /																
A5267 Hartwood Rd / Roe Lane	SB	150-484	391	26	5	422	223	20	4	250		-172	-41%	9	×	×
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276		100 101			<u> </u>				•	_00	ŀ					
Norwood Ave	EB	235-223	510	38	12	560	451	18	0	482		-78	-14%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

A5267 Roe Lane / B5276																
Norwood Ave	WB	223-235	580	47	14	641	519	43	0	573		-68	-11%	3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276																
Norwood Ave	NB	437-223	290	25	16	331	296	28	0	329		-2	-1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276	0.5	000 107	= 10		_	500	450	0.5		504			4.407		/	
Norwood Ave	SB	223-437	549	24	1	580	450	25	0	501		-79	-14%	3	✓	✓
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276 Norwood Ave	EB	223-204	789	52	12	853	231	22	0	279		-573	-67%	24	×	×
A5267 Roe Lane / B5276																
Norwood Ave	WB	204-223	460	44	18	522	458	44	0	510		-12	-2%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd																
/ Bispham Rd	NB	234-237	652	40	14	706	340	43	0	392		-314	-45%	13	×	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	SB	237-234	333	35	16	384	325	37	0	374		-10	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd	00	201 201	000	00	10	001	020	01	Ŭ	071		10	070			
/ Bispham Rd	NB	233-234	326	36	14	376	332	39	9	392		16	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd																
/ Bispham Rd	SB	234-233	584	31	9	624	471	34	7	531		-93	-15%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd									_						,	
/ Bispham Rd	EB	234-221	236	19	9	264	221	29	9	273		9	3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Norwood Ave / Lithebarn Rd / Bispham Rd	WB	221-234	177	25	7	209	322	25	7	362		153	73%	9	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267				20	,	200	022	20	,	002		100	1070	0		
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	NB	130-488	341	15	7	363	376	22	7	448		85	24%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267									_							
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	SB	488-130	408	31	12	451	329	1	3	341		-110	-24%	6	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267 Ash St / Cemetery Rd	EB	131-130	493	50	16	559	250	54	16	332		-227	-41%	11	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267																
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	WB	130-131	371	49	9	429	356	46	8	418	L	-11	-3%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267																
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	NB	251-130	430	41	7	478	366	34	7	459		-19	-4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267	0.5	100.051	100			500	450	10		10.1		4.0	40/		,	
Asn St / Cemetery Rd	SB	130-251	466	22	14	502	456	19	0	484	⊢	-18	-4%	1	✓	~
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267 Ash St / Cemetery Rd	EB	130-129	454	48	9	511	234	47	16	325		-186	-36%	9	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick Rd / A5267																
Ash St / Cemetery Rd	WB	129-130	473	52	5	530	479	44	5	533		3	1%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

A570 Scarisbrick New Rd / Main Retail Park Rbout /															
A570 / B5276	NB	260-1143	843	59	25	927	86	61	36	967	40	4%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /															
Main Retail Park Rbout /															
A570 / B5276	SB	1143-260	622	51	16	689	62	62	69	781	92	13%	3	√	✓
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /															
Main Retail Park Roout /		260 1142	190	16	14	510	21	16	15	259	16	1 210/	0		~
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /		200-1142	409	10	14	519	51	10	15	550	-10	-51/6	0	~	~
Main Retail Park Rbout /															
A570 / B5276	SB	1142-260	442	28	5	475	44	24	0	486	12	2%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /															
Main Retail Park Rbout /															
A570 / B5276	EB	416-260	447	32	9	488	44	31	10	499	11	2%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /															
Main Retail Park Rbout /		000 110	470	00	10	540	47		10	500		50/			
A570 / B5276	WB	260-416	472	29	12	513	47	32	13	536	- 23	5%	1	✓	~
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /															
	NB	403-260	278	16	2	296	13	10	0	147	-15	-51%	10	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /		400 200	210	10	2	200	-13	10	0	147		5 5170	10	••	
Main Retail Park Rbout /															
A570 / B5276	SB	260-403	482	18	0	500	38	8	0	47	-45	3 -91%	27	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /			-												
Main Retail Park Rbout /															
A570 / B5276	NB	1132-260	823	59	16	898	53	37	41	612	-28	5 -32%	10	×	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /															
Main Retail Park Rbout /	00	000 1100	707	<b>E</b> 4	20	000	70	50		0.40		40/	4	/	
A570/B5276	28	260-1132	121	54	28	809	70	53	55	840	32	4%	1	v	V
AS70 Scansplick New Rd / Main Rotail Park Phout /															
A570 / B5276	FB	260-1133	142	14	0	156	58	11	0	70	-8	-55%	8	$\checkmark$	×
A570 Scarisbrick New Rd /		200 1100			<u> </u>	100			Ŭ	10		0070	Ű		
Main Retail Park Rbout /															
A570 / B5276	WB	1133-260	277	16	7	300	27	16	0	293	-7	-2%	0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /															
Claremont Rd	NB	305-304	92	8	2	102	11	12	8	145	43	42%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /	0.5			_								1000		,	
Claremont Rd	SB	304-305	60	5	2	67	13	12	2	148	81	120%	8	$\checkmark$	×

Claremont Rd EB 320-305 341 35 7 383 223 30 16 273 -110 -29% 6	×	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /	,	,
Claremont Rd WB 305-320 344 40 9 393 287 34 8 387 -7 -2% 0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /	/	/
Ciaremonia Ru IND 300-305 211 21 9 247 210 26 6 230 9 4% 1   A5267 Footbourse Dd / Ind	v	v
AS267 Eastbourne Rd / SB 305-306 198 23 2 223 225 22 6 278 55 25% 3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /		
Claremont Rd EB 305-303 384 51 9 444 240 40 16 305 -139 -31% 7	×	×
A5267 Eastbourne Rd /		
Claremont Rd WB 303-305 426 53 14 493 311 38 12 439 -54 -11% 3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane	,	
/ Arundel Rd NB 310-314 255 30 5 290 215 9 3 233 -57 -20% 4	~	✓
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane SB 314-310 178 22 2 202 141 22 2 170 -32 -16% 2	$\checkmark$	~
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane		
/ Arundel Rd EB 310-309 365 53 16 434 437 52 18 517 83 19% 4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guildford Rd / New Cut Lane		
/ Arundel Rd WB 309-310 532 24 5 561 580 53 28 701 140 25% 6	×	×
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267	,	,
Liverpool Rd NB 312-313 592 48 16 656 570 48 42 670 14 2% 1	~	$\checkmark$
A565 Waterloo Rd / A5267		
Liverpool Rd 3B 1109-312 578 43 9 030 510 40 27 003 -26 -4% 1	v	v
/ Bispham Rd WB 221-234 177 25 7 209 322 25 7 362 153 73% 9	×	×
Norwood Ave / Tithebarn Rd		
/ Bispham Rd EB 234-221 236 19 9 264 221 29 9 273 9 3% 1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd NB 221-222 75 9 0 84 72 10 0 83 -1 -2% 0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Bispham Rd/Wennington Rd SB 222-221 118 7 2 127 126 7 0 135 7 6% 1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton		
Grove/Queens Rd EB 410-125 385 46 7 438 401 32 7 444 6 1% 0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Manchester Rd/Hoghton		
Grove/Queens Rd EB 125-410 268 8 7 283 269 5 3 283 0 0% 0	✓	✓
Manchester Rd/Hoghton FP F24 425 220 244 255 48 0 204 400/ 2		
Glove/Queens Ru ED 531-125 ZZU Z1 U Z41 Z55 18 U 284 43 18% 3	v	v
Grove/Queens Rd EB 125-531 272 31 2 305 271 12 2 295 -11 -4% 1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

A565 Southport New Road, Eastbound at LC74, Banks	EB	1003-8922	721	89	61	871		902	86	67	1097		226	26%	7	×	×
A565 Southport New Road, Westbound at LC79, Banks	WB	8922-1003	100 1	124	66	1191		741	84	39	864		-327	-27%	10	×	×
L7135 A570 Southport Road, at LC120, Scarisbrick	WB	2103-2102	377	28	34	439		361	25	12	398		-42	-9%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
L7135 A570 Southport Road, at LC120, Scarisbrick	EB	2102-2103	559	42	42	644		495	8	30	548		-95	-15%	4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5243 Moss Road, West of Birkdale Cop, Halsall	EB	266-265	72	11	3	86		75	11	10	98		12	13%	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
B5243 Moss Road, West of Birkdale Cop, Halsall	WB	265-266	108	16	5	128		121	20	10	152		24	19%	2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL LINKS COMPLYING WITH DMRB													75%	71%			

# **Appendix D. Trip length Distribution**

Trip Length Distribution for AM Peak (UC1 Car-HBW)



Trip Length Distribution for AM Peak (UC1 Car-HBEB)







### Trip Length Distribution for AM Peak (UC4 LGV)







#### Trip Length Distribution for IP Peak (UC1 Car-HBW)







#### Trip Length Distribution for IP Peak (UC1 Car-HBO)







Trip Length Distribution for IP Peak (UC5 HGV)







## Trip Length Distribution for PM Peak (UC1 Car-HBEB)







#### Trip Length Distribution for PM Peak (UC4 LGV)





